### nature

### **Accelerated Article Preview**

# T cell responses to SARS-CoV-2 spike cross-recognize Omicron

Received: 27 December 2021

Accepted: 20 January 2022

Accelerated Article Preview

Cite this article as: Keeton, R. et al. T cell responses to SARS-CoV-2 spike cross-recognize Omicron. *Nature* https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04460-3 (2022). Roanne Keeton, Marius B. Tincho, Amkele Ngomti, Richard Baguma, Ntombi Benede, Akiko Suzuki, Khadija Khan, Sandile Cele, Mallory Bernstein, Farina Karim, Sharon V. Madzorera, Thandeka Moyo-Gwete, Mathilda Mennen, Sango Skelem, Marguerite Adriaanse, Daniel Mutithu, Olukayode Aremu, Cari Stek, Elsa du Bruyn, Mieke A. Van Der Mescht, Zelda de Beer, Talita R. de Villiers, Annie Bodenstein, Gretha van den Berg, Adriano Mendes, Amy Strydom, Marietjie Venter, Jennifer Giandhari, Yeshnee Naidoo, Sureshnee Pillay, Houriiyah Tegally, Alba Grifoni, Daniela Weiskopf, Alessandro Sette, Robert J. Wilkinson, Tulio de Oliveira, Linda-Gail Bekker, Glenda Gray, Veronica Ueckermann, Theresa Rossouw, Michael T. Boswell, Jinal Bihman, Penny L. Moore, Alex Sigal, Ntobeko A. B. Ntusi, Wendy A. Burgers & Catherine Riou

This is a PDF file of a peer-reviewed paper that has been accepted for publication. Although unedited, the content has been subjected to preliminary formatting. Nature is providing this early version of the typeset paper as a service to our authors and readers. The text and figures will undergo copyediting and a proof review before the paper is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers apply.

#### T cell responses to SARS-CoV-2 spike cross-recognize Omicron

Roanne Keeton<sup>1,2</sup>, Marius B. Tincho<sup>1,2</sup>, Amkele Ngomti<sup>1,2</sup>, Richard Baguma<sup>1,2</sup>, Ntombi Benede<sup>1,2</sup>, Akiko Suzuki<sup>1,2</sup>, Khadija Khan<sup>3,4</sup>, Sandile Cele<sup>3,4</sup>, Mallory Bernstein<sup>3,4</sup>, Farina Karim<sup>3,4</sup>, Sharon V. Madzorera<sup>5,6</sup>, Thandeka Moyo-Gwete<sup>5,6</sup>, Mathilda Mennen<sup>7</sup>, Sango Skelem<sup>7</sup>, Marguerite Adriaanse<sup>7</sup>, Daniel Mutithu<sup>7</sup>, Olukayode Aremu<sup>7</sup>, Cari Stek<sup>1,7</sup>, Elsa du Bruyn<sup>1,7</sup>, Mieke A. Van Der Mescht<sup>8</sup>, Zelda de Beer<sup>9</sup>, Talita R. de Villiers<sup>9</sup> Annie Bodenstein<sup>9</sup>, Gretha van den Berg<sup>9</sup>, Adriano Mendes<sup>10</sup>, Amy Strydom<sup>10</sup>, Marietjie Venter<sup>10</sup> Jennifer Giandhari<sup>11</sup>, Yeshnee Naidoo<sup>11</sup>, Sureshnee Pillay<sup>11</sup>, Houriiyah Tegally<sup>11</sup>, Alba Grifoni<sup>12</sup>, Daniela Weiskopf<sup>12</sup>, Alessandro Sette<sup>12,13</sup>, Robert J. Wilkinson<sup>1,7,14,15,16</sup>, Tulio de Oliveira<sup>11,17</sup>, Linda-Gail Bekker<sup>1,7,18</sup>, Glenda Gray<sup>19</sup>, Veronica Ueckermann<sup>20</sup>, Theresa Rossouw<sup>8</sup>, Michael T. Boswell<sup>20</sup>, Jinal Bihman<sup>5,6</sup>, Penny L. Moore<sup>1,5,6,21</sup>, Alex Sigal<sup>3,4,22</sup>, Ntobeko A. B. Ntusi<sup>1,7,14,23</sup>, Wendy A. Burgers<sup>1,2,14,#,†</sup>, Catherine Riou<sup>1,2,14,#,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine, University of Cape Town, Observatory, South Africa <sup>2</sup>Division of Medical Virology, Department of Pathology; University of Cape Town; Observatory, South Africa <sup>3</sup>Africa Health Research Institute, Durban, South Africa.

<sup>4</sup>School of Laboratory Medicine and Medical Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa. <sup>5</sup>National Institute for Communicable Diseases of the National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa.

<sup>6</sup>SA MRC Antibody Immunity Research Unit, School of Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.

<sup>7</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town and Groote Schuur Hospital; Observatory, South Africa <sup>8</sup>Department of Immunology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.

<sup>9</sup>Tshwane District Hospital, Tshwane, South Africa.

<sup>10</sup>Centre for Viral Zoonoses, Department of Medical Virology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.

<sup>11</sup>KwaZulu-Natal Research Innovation and Sequencing Platform, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa.

<sup>12</sup>Center for Infectious Disease and Vaccine Research, La Jolla Institute for Immunology, La Jolla, California, USA

<sup>13</sup>Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases and Global Public Health, University of California, San Diego (UCSD), La Jolla, California, USA

<sup>14</sup>Wellcome Centre for Infectious Diseases Research in Africa, University of Cape Town, Observatory, South Africa

<sup>15</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, Imperial College London, United Kingdom.

<sup>16</sup>The Francis Crick Institute; London, United Kingdom.

<sup>17</sup>Centre for Epidemic Response and Innovation, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa.

<sup>18</sup>Desmond Tutu HIV Centre, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa.

<sup>19</sup>South African Medical Research Council, Cape Town, South Africa

<sup>20</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, University of Pretoria and Steve Biko Academic Hospital, Pretoria, South Africa.

<sup>21</sup>Centre for the AIDS Programme of Research in South Africa, Durban, South Africa.

<sup>22</sup>Max Planck Institute for Infection Biology, Berlin, Germany.

<sup>23</sup>Cape Heart Institute, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town; Observatory, South Africa.

<sup>#</sup>These authors contributed equally.

†e-mail:wendy.burgers@uct.ac.za; cr.riou@uct.ac.za

The SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant has multiple Spike (S) protein mutations<sup>1,2</sup> that contribute to escape from antibody neutralization<sup>3-6</sup> and reduce vaccine protection from infection<sup>7,8</sup>. The extent to which other components of the adaptive response such as T cells may still target Omicron and contribute to protection from severe outcomes is unknown. We assessed the ability of T cells to react with Omicron spike in participants

who were vaccinated with Ad26.CoV2.S, BNT162b2, or unvaccinated convalescent COVID-19 patients (n=70). We found that 70-80% of the CD4+ and CD8+ T cell response to spike was maintained across study groups. Moreover, the magnitude of Omicron cross-reactive T cells was similar to Beta and Delta variants, despite Omicron harboring considerably more mutations. In Omicron-infected hospitalized patients (n=19), there were comparable T cell responses to ancestral spike, nucleocapsid and membrane proteins to those patients hospitalized in previous waves dominated by the ancestral, Beta or Delta variants (n=49). Thus, despite Omicron's extensive mutations and reduced susceptibility to neutralizing antibodies, the majority of T cell responses, induced by vaccination or infection, cross-recognize the variant. It remains to be determined whether well-preserved T cell immunity to Omicron contributes to protection from severe COVID-19, and is linked to early clinical observations from South Africa and elsewhere<sup>9-12</sup>.

The newest SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern, designated Omicron<sup>1</sup>, was described on 26 November 2021 from sequences from Botswana, Hong Kong and South Africa<sup>2</sup>. Omicron is responsible for the current surge of infections in South Africa, and is becoming globally dominant. With over 30 mutations in the spike protein, a substantial ability to evade the neutralizing antibody response has been described<sup>3-6</sup>. This associates with greater capacity for reinfection<sup>13</sup>, as well as lower early estimates of vaccine effectiveness against symptomatic disease<sup>7,8</sup>. SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells play a role in modulating COVID-19 severity. A study of acute COVID-19 suggested, through combined measurement of CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, and neutralizing antibodies in COVID-19, that co-ordination of these three arms of the adaptive response leads to lower disease severity<sup>14</sup>. A greater CD8+ T cell response in blood and highly clonally expanded CD8+ T cells in bronchoalveolar lavage were observed in convalescent patients who experienced mild or moderate disease compared to severe dissease<sup>15-16</sup>, and CD8+ T cells provided partial protective immunity in the context of suboptimal antibody titers in macaques<sup>17</sup>. At total of 138 participants were included in this study, grouped according to their vaccination and COVID-19 status, in order to 1) determine whether T cells generated in response to vaccination or previous SARS-CoV-2 infection could cross-recognize Omicron, and 2) define the profile of T cell responses in Omicroninfected patients compared to those infected with other variants of concern.

### T cell cross-reactivity to Omicron

We examined T cell responses in participants who had received one or two doses of the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine (Johnson and Johnson/Janssen, n = 20/group), two doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine (Pfizer–BioNTech, n = 15), or who had recovered from infection (n = 15) (**Fig 1a, Extended Data Table 1a** and **1b**). Convalescent donors were examined a median of 1.4 months (interquartile range [IQR]: 1.3-6 months) after mild or asymptomatic infection. More than 85% of vaccinees generated a T cell response to vaccination, measured 22-32 days after the last dose (**Fig. 1b**). Both vaccination and infection induced spike-specific CD4+ T cell responses, while a CD8 response was less consistently detected (**Fig. 1c**). We measured cytokine production (IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-2 and TNF- $\alpha$ ) by intracellular cytokine staining in response to peptide pools covering the full Wuhan-1 spike protein (ancestral) and the Omicron spike (**Fig. 1d** and **Extended Data Fig. 1a**).

CD4+ T cell frequencies to Omicron spike were consistently and significantly lower than ancestral spike in all groups tested (**Fig. 1e**). This translated to a median decrease of 14-30% of the CD4 response to Omicron, as demonstrated by fold-change (**Fig. 1f**). Similar results were observed for the CD8+ T cell response (**Fig. 1g-h**), where vaccinees who had received two doses of Ad26.COV2.S and convalescent donors demonstrated a significant decrease in the magnitude of Omicron spike-specific CD8+ T cells, although the other groups did not. There was a median reduction of 17-25% of the CD8 response to Omicron compared to the ancestral virus. Of note, a fraction of responders (5/32; 15%) exhibited a loss of CD8+ T cell recognition of Omicron (**Figure 1g** and **Extended Data Fig. 1b**), likely reflecting specific HLA molecules being adversely affected by mutations in particular CD8 epitopes<sup>18</sup>.

In parallel, we also measured the neutralizing activity against ancestral and Omicron spike from the plasma of the same participants who received BNT162b2 (n=10) or 2 doses of Ad26.COV.S (n=19) (**Extended data Fig. 2**). As previously described<sup>3,5,6</sup>, Omicron escapes

the SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies generated after BNT162b2 vaccination. Here we present neutralizing responses to Omicron after two doses of Ad26.COV2.S (**Extended data Fig. 2b**), demonstrating diminished neutralization capacity compared to D614G ancestral virus and the Beta variant. Comparison of the fold change in T cell responses and neutralizing antibodies targeting ancestral or Omicron spike further emphasizes the preservation of the T cell response, even when neutralization is severely reduced.

Mutations in variant epitopes have the potential to affect the functional capacity of cells<sup>19</sup>. Thus, we compared the polyfunctional profiles of T cells in vaccinees and convalescent individuals and demonstrate similar capacities for cytokine co-expression across all groups for both ancestral and Omicron-specific T cells (Extended Data Fig. 3a-b and 4a**b**). Notably, there were also no differences in the polyfunctional profiles between ancestral and Omicron spike for either CD4+ or CD8+ T cells (Extended Data Fig. 3c and 4c), indicating the absence of a functional deficit in cross-reactive Omicron T cell responses. We also compared Omicron spike responses to other variants of concern in Ad26.CoV2.S vaccinees, by testing spike peptide pools corresponding to the viral sequences of the Beta and Delta strains (Extended Data Fig. 5a). There were no significant differences in crossreactive CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses between Beta, Delta and Omicron (Extended Data Fig. 5b), with the exception of a greater decrease in the Omicron CD4 response compared to Beta in recipients of two doses of Ad26.COV2.S. Of note, while prior SARS-CoV-2 infection in vaccinees associated with a higher frequency of spike-specific T cells (Extended Data Fig. 6a), it had no impact on Omicron cross-reactivity (Extended Data Fig. 6b). Overall, these results show that CD4+ and CD8+ T cell recognition of Omicron spike is largely preserved compared to the ancestral strain, and is similar to other variants of concern carrying three times fewer mutations.

#### T cell response to different variants

The SARS-CoV-2 epidemic in South Africa has been characterized by four virologically distinct infection waves (**Fig. 2b**). This enabled us to compare T cell responses in patients from the current fourth epidemic wave, dominated by Omicron, with those infected in prior waves dominated by ancestral (Wave 1, n =17), Beta (Wave 2, n =16) and Delta (Wave 3, n =16) variants (**Fig. 2a**). In addition to extensive mutations in spike, Omicron has 20 additional mutations in other proteins which could also result in T cell escape. Therefore, we measured the frequency of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells to ancestral spike (S), nucleocapsid (N) and membrane (M) proteins, all major targets of the T cell response<sup>20</sup>. We studied SARS-CoV-2-infected patients who were hospitalized with COVID-19 (**Fig. 2a**). These recently hospitalized patients, recruited between December 1<sup>st</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup>, 2021 (n = 19), had no history of prior COVID-19 and were unvaccinated. Omicron infection was inferred by S-gene target failure (SGTF)<sup>21</sup> in nine of these patients. Although swabs were unavailable for the remainder, with Omicron accounting for >90% of sequences from South Africa at the time of recruitment and 98% in Tshwane from where the samples originated (**Fig. 2b**), there was a high probability of Omicron infection in all patients.

Despite differences in age, disease severity and co-morbidities across the infection waves (**Fig. 2a** and **Extended Data Table 1c**), T cell responses directed at S, N and M in wave 4 patients were of similar magnitude as those in patients infected with other SARS-CoV-2 variants in previous waves (**Fig. 2c** and **d**). The frequency of responders also did not

differ markedly across the waves. Of note, we did not find any association between the absence of detectable CD4+ T cell responses and the time post COVID-19 diagnosis or disease severity. Furthermore, the magnitude of Omicron spike-specific CD4 responses mounted by Wave 4 patients was highly comparable to ancestral spike (**Fig. 2e**), suggesting that most patients target conserved epitopes in spike. Using data from the Immune Epitope Database (www.iedb.org), we assessed the frequency of T cell recognition of experimentally-confirmed epitopes spanning the entire spike protein. Data show that Omicron spike mutations occur in regions poorly targeted by CD4+ T cells, but are more common in regions frequently targeted by CD8+ T cells (**Extended Data Fig. 7**).

To gain deeper insight into the recognition of variable spike epitopes by CD8 + T cells, we also performed *in silico* analysis to define predicted HLA Class I restriction for Omicron variable epitopes (**Extended Data Table 2**). Six confirmed spike epitopes containing Omicron mutations (A67V/del 69-70, G142D/143-145 del, S373P, S375F, D614G, P681H and N764K) would be detrimentally affected for binding to specific class I alleles, four of which were located at positions that recorded a frequency of recognition greater than 10%. However, we also found another seven confirmed epitopes that contained Omicron mutations (T95I, S371L/S373P/S375F, K417N, G446S, Q493R, N764K, L981F) but had no impact on class I binding compared to the ancestral sequence, five of which were located at position greater than 10%. Overall, this suggests that while some Omicron mutations may mediate escape from specific HLA-restricted CD8+ T cells, not all mutations appear to have an impact on class I binding.

### Discussion

In this study, we measured the ability of individuals to cross-recognize Omicron spike, following vaccination, prior infection or both. We also studied unvaccinated individuals with no history of previous infection, whose first encounter with spike was the Omicron version. We demonstrate that vaccination and infection induce robust CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses that largely cross-react with Omicron, consistent with recent work from our laboratory and others on limited T cell escape by Beta, Delta and other variants<sup>22-24</sup>. Despite extensive neutralization escape against Omicron<sup>5</sup>, 70-80% of the T cell response is cross-reactive. In contrast to neutralizing antibody epitopes, T cell epitopes are abundant and located across the entire spike protein<sup>20</sup>, suggesting that the majority of SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific T cell responses are directed against conserved epitopes and SARS-CoV-2 viral evasion from T cells may be limited.

Of note, Omicron mutations appear to abolish CD8+ T cell recognition in 5 out of 32 participants (15%), in agreement with a recent report<sup>25</sup>. This loss of cross-reactive CD8+ T cell responses could have pathological consequences for some individuals. Further analyses are required to define specific HLA class I profiles and epitopes linked to loss of T cell responses.

T cells are crucial components of the antiviral immune response. Whilst they do not prevent infection, CD4+ T cells are indispensable for the generation of protective antibody responses and supporting the maturation of CD8+ T cells. Hence, given the ability of variants of concern to escape neutralization, the generation and maintenance of robust SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell responses could contribute to long-term vaccine efficacy against severe

disease. Several studies have reported a waning of the neutralizing response after vaccination or infection<sup>26-28</sup>. However, humoral responses can be enhanced upon booster vaccination, improving Omicron neutralization<sup>3,6,29,30</sup>. Vaccine- and infection-induced T cell responses also decay after antigen clearance<sup>31,32</sup>, but SARS-CoV-2-specific CD8+ T cells exhibit the hallmarks of long-lived cells<sup>33</sup>, and T cell responses to SARS-CoV-1 infection were detectable 17 years later<sup>34</sup>. The longer-term durability of SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells remains to be determined, and whether vaccine boosters can further enhance cellular immunity.

Despite the sharp increase in cases in South Africa in the current surge<sup>35</sup>, this has not translated into the expected increase in hospitalization or deaths, compared to previous waves<sup>12</sup>. This uncoupling of caseloads and severe outcomes could be attributed to population immunity, including maintenance of cross-reactive T cell responses observed in our study, or intrinsic differences in Omicron severity, or both. South Africa has high levels of SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity, driven mainly by prior infection (estimated at >60%) and a modest proportion of vaccinated people  $(40\%)^{36}$ . Emerging data hint at reduced intrinsic severity of Omicron, including reduced infection of lower airway cells<sup>37,38</sup>. The relative contribution of high levels of immunity and potential changes in intrinsic virulence on clinical outcomes are difficult to disentangle. Moreover, it remains to be determined whether the apparently milder outcomes at a population level will be observed in other contexts with different exposure histories and vaccination coverage, or whether the higher transmissibility of Omicron and the expected massive increase in cases in a short period will offset any gains. To date, immune correlates of protection from disease are not clearly defined and large-scale prospective studies would be necessary to evaluate correlates of protection and define the role of T cell responses in disease.

Our study had several limitations. We studied Omicron cross-reactivity of vaccine responses approximately one month after vaccination. Since T cell responses decline over time, the detection of continued cross-reactivity with variants over time will be related to the durability of the T cell response. Recall memory responses in vivo are likely to expand rapidly upon viral infection and contribute to limiting viral replication. We also focused on Th1 cytokine production to quantify CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses. Additional approaches such as the activation-induced marker (AIM) assay may capture the cellular immune response in a more comprehensive manner<sup>39</sup>. The use of 15mer peptides will have underestimated SARS-CoV-2 specific CD8+ T cells as 9-10mer peptides are optimal for HLA class I binding and it has been estimated that 15mer peptides capture 77% of the frequency of CD8+ T cells when compared to shorter peptides<sup>40</sup>. Moreover, the saturating concentration of peptides used in these studies may underestimate the impact of mutations on T cells. In addition, the use of peptides does not permit us to define the potential effect of mutations on antigen processing and presentation, thus underestimating the impact of Omicron mutations on T cell cross-recognition. Finally, confirmation of our results from cohorts in other geographical areas and exposure to other vaccines would offer further reassurance of the maintenance of T cell responses against Omicron. Indeed, emerging data suggest this to be the case 25,41-45.

Overall, our data show that unlike neutralizing antibodies, the SARS-CoV-2 T cell response generated upon vaccination or prior infection are highly cross-reactive with Omicron. Early reports emerging from South Africa, England and Scotland have reported a

lower risk of hospitalization and severe disease compared to the previous Delta wave<sup>9-12</sup>. It remains to be defined whether cell-mediated immunity provides protection from severe disease and contributes to the apparent milder outcomes for Omicron. Moreover, the resilience of the T cell response demonstrated here also bodes well in the event that more highly mutated variants emerge in the future.

**Online content** Any methods, additional references, Nature Research reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at [Article DOI].

Received 27 December 2021; accepted 20 January 2022

- 1. WHO. Classification of omicron (B.1.1.529): SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern. https://www.who.int/news/item/26-11-2021-classification-of-omicron-(b.1.1.529)-sars-cov-2-variant-of-concern (accessed Dec 22, 2021).
- Viana, R. et al. Rapid epidemic expansion of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in southern Africa. *MedRxiv*, DOI: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.12.19.21268028 (2021).
- Cameroni, E. et al. Broadly neutralizing antibodies overcome SARS-CoV-2 Omicron antigenic shift. *Nature*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-03825-4 (2021).
- 4. Cao, Y. et al. Omicron escapes the majority of existing SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. *Nature*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-03796-6 (2021).
- 5. Cele, S. et al. SARS-CoV-2 omicron has extensive but incomplete escape of Pfizer BNT162b2 elicited neutralization and requires ACE2 for infection. *Nature*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-03824-5. (2021).
- 6. Planas, D. et al. Considerable escape of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron to antibody neutralization. *Nature*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-021-03827-2 (2021).
- Andrews, N. et al. Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant of concern. *MedRxiv*, DOI: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.12.14.21267615 (2021).
- Holm Hansen, C. et al. Vaccine effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2 infection with the Omicron or Delta variants following a two-dose or booster BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273 vaccination series: A Danish cohort study. *MedRxiv*, DOI: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.12.20.21267966 (2021).
- Goga, A. et al. Breakthrough Covid-19 infections during periods of circulating Beta, Delta and Omicron variants of concern, among health care workers in the Sisonke Ad26.COV2.S vaccine trial, South Africa. *MedRxiv*, DOI: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.12.21.21268171 (2021).
- Mahase, E. Covid-19: Hospital admission 50-70% less likely with omicron than delta, but transmission a major concern. *BMJ*. 375, n3151, DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n3151 (2021).
- 11. Sheikh, A. et al. Severity of Omicron variant of concern and vaccine effectiveness against symptomatic disease: national cohort with nested test negative design study in Scotland. Preprint at:
  - https://www.pure.ed.ac.uk/ws/portalfiles/portal/245818096/Severity\_of\_Omicron\_varian t\_of\_concern\_and\_vaccine\_effectiveness\_against\_symptomatic\_disease.pdf(2021)
- 12. Wolter, N. et al. Early assessment of the clinical severity of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in South Africa. *MedRxiv*, DOI: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.12.21.21268116 (2021).

- 13. Pulliam, J.R.C. et al. Increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection associated with emergence of the Omicron variant in South Africa. *MedRxiv*, DOI: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.11.11.21266068
- Rydyznski Moderbacher, C.R. et al. Antigen-specific adaptive Immunity to SARS-CoV-2 in acute COVID-19 and associations with age and disease severity. *Cell.* 183, 996– 1012 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.038 (2020).
- Peng, Y. et al. Broad and strong memory CD4 <sup>+</sup> and CD8 <sup>+</sup> T cells induced by SARS-CoV-2 in UK convalescent individuals following COVID-19. *Nature Immunology*. 21, 1336-1345. DOI: <u>https//doi.org/10.1038/s41590-020-0782-6.</u> (2020).
- Liao, M. et al. Single-cell landscape of bronchoalveolar immune cells in patients with COVID-19. *Nature Medicine*. 26, 842-844. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0901-9 (2020).
- 17. McMahan, K. et al. Correlates of protection against SARS-CoV-2 in rhesus macaques. *Nature*. **590**, 630–634 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-03041-6 (2021).
- Faraz Ahmed, S. et al. SARS-CoV-2 T cell responses are expected to remain robust against Omicron. *BioRxiv*, DOI: https://www.biorxiv.org/10.1101/2021.12.12.472315 (2021).
- Holler, P.D. and Kranz, D.M. Quantitative Analysis of the Contribution of TCR/pepMHC Affinity and CD8 to T Cell Activation. *Immunity.* 18, 255-264 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/</u>10.1016/s1074-7613(03)00019-0 (2003).
- 20. Grifoni, A. et al. SARS-CoV-2 human T cell epitopes: Adaptive immune response against COVID-19. *Cell Host & Microbe.* **29**, 1076-1092 (2021).
- 21. Scott, L. et al. Track Omicron's spread with molecular data. *Science* **374**, 1454-55. <u>https://www.science.org/doi/epdf/10.1126/science.abn4543</u> (2021).
- 22. Keeton, R. et al. Prior infection with SARS-CoV-2 boosts and broadens Ad26.COV2.S immunogenicity in a variant-dependent manner. *Cell Host & Microbe*. **29**, 1611-1619 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2021.10.003 (2021).
- 23. Riou, C. et al. Escape from recognition of SARS-CoV-2 Beta variant spike epitopes but overall preservation of T cell immunity. *Science Translational Medicine*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abj6824 (2021).
- 24. Tarke, A. et al. Impact of SARS-CoV-2 variants on the total CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell reactivity in infected or vaccinated individuals. *Cell Reports Medicine*. **2**, 100355 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100355 (2021).
- 25. Naranbhai, V. et al. T cell reactivity to the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant is preserved in most but not all prior infected and vaccinated individuals. *MedRxiv*, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.04.21268586. (2022).
- Doria-Rose, N. A. et al. Booster of mRNA-1273 Strengthens SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Neutralization. *MedRxiv*, DOI: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.12.15.21267805v2.full.pdf
- (2021a).
  27. Dupont, L. et al. Neutralizing antibody activity in convalescent sera from infection in humans with SARS-CoV-2 and variants of concern. *Nature Microbiology*. 6, 1433–1442 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-021-00974-0 (2021).
- Levin, E. G. et al. Waning Immune Humoral Response to BNT162b2 Covid-19 Vaccine over 6 Months. *N Engl J Med.* 385, e84 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2114583</u> (2021).
- Doria-Rose, N. et al. Antibody Persistence through 6 Months after the Second Dose of mRNA-1273 Vaccine for Covid-19. *N Engl J Med.* 384, 2259-2261 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2103916 (2021b).
- Garcia-Beltran, W.F. et al. mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccine boosters induce neutralizing immunity against SARSCoV-2 Omicron variant. *MedRxiv*, DOI: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.12.14.21267755v1.full.pdf (2021).

- Cohen, K. W. et al. Longitudinal analysis shows durable and broad immune memory after SARS-CoV-2 infection with persisting antibody responses and memory B and T cells. *Cell Reports Medicine*. 2, 100354 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100354 (2021).
- 32. Dan, J. M. et al. Immunological memory to SARS-CoV-2 assessed for up to 8 months after infection. *Science* **371**, eabf4063 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/</u>10.1126/science.abf4063 (2021).
- 33. Adamo, S. et al. Signature of long-lived memory CD8+ T cells in acute SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nature* DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04280-</u>x (2021).
- 34. Le Bert, N. et al. SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell immunity in cases of COVID-19 and SARS, and uninfected controls. *Nature*. **584**, 457–462 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2550-z (2020).
- Karim, S. S. A. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: a new chapter in the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet.* 398, 2126–2128 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(21)02748-3</u> (2021).
- Madhi, S.A. et al. South African population immunity and severe COVID-19 with Omicron variant. *MedRxiv*, DOI: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.12.20.21268096v1.full.pdf (2021).
- Meng, B. et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron spike mediated immune escape, infectivity and cellcell fusion. *MedRxiv*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.17.473248 (2021).
- Zhao H. et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant shows less efficient replication and fusion activity when compared with delta variant in TMPRSS2-expressed cells: Omicron variant replication kinetics. *Emerging microbes & infections*. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2021.2023329 (2021).
- Tarke, A. et al. Comprehensive analysis of T cell immunodominance and immunoprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 epitopes in COVID-19 cases. *Cell Reports Medicine*. 2, 100204 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100204 (2021b).
- 40. Kiecker, F. et al. Analysis of antigen-specific T-cell responses with synthetic peptides what kind of peptide for which purpose? *Hum Immunol.* **65**, 523-536. DOI: 10.1016/j.humimm.2004.02.017 (2004).
- Tarke, A. et al. SARS-CoV-2 vaccination induces immunological memory able to crossrecognize variants from Alpha to Omicron. *BioRxiv*, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.28.474333. (2021).
- 42. De Marco, L. et al. Preserved T cell reactivity to the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant indicates continued protection in vaccinated individuals. *BioRxiv*, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.30.474453 (2021).
- GeurtsvanKessel, C.H. et al. Divergent SARS CoV-2 Omicron-specific T- and B-cell responses in COVID-19 vaccine recipients. *MedRxiv*, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.27.21268416. (2021).
- 44. Gao, Y. et al. Ancestral SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells cross-recognize Omicron (B.1.1.529). *Nature Medicine*, DOI: *https://doi.org/10.1038/d41591-022-00017-z*. (2022).
- 45. Liu, J. et al. Vaccines elicit highly cross-reactive cellular immunity to the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. *MedRxiv*, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.02.22268634. (2022).
   Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

### **METHODS**

### **Human Participants**

At total of 138 participants were included in this study and grouped according to their vaccination and COVID-19 status. Participants were selected based on PBMC availability and clinical data were recorded by trained clinicians using RedCap (v9.5.36). The study was approved by the University of Cape Town Human Research Ethics Committee (ref: HREC 190/2020, 207/2020 and 209/2020) and the University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee (Medical) (ref. M210429 and M210752), the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee at the University of KwaZulu–Natal (ref. BREC/00001275/2020) and the University of Pretoria Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee (ref. 247/2020). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

<u>1- Participants vaccinated with Ad26.COV2.S (one or two doses) or BNT162b2 (two doses)</u> PBMC samples from 40 participants (20 who received one dose of Ad26.COV2.S vaccine and 20 who received two doses) were included in this study. These participants are enrolled in the Sisonke Phase 3b trial, an implementation trial of Ad26.COV2.S in healthcare workers. Recruitment took place at Groote Schuur Hospital (Cape Town, Western Cape, South Africa) between July 2020 and December 2021. Prior COVID-19 infection was recorded in 13 out of the 20 participants who had received one dose of the Ad26.COV2.S vaccine and in 14 out of 20 participants who had received two doses. Additionally, we also included samples from 15 participants vaccinated with two doses of BNT162b2 (Pfizer), enrolled in a prospective cohort study in KwaZulu Natal (South Africa). Prior COVID-19 infection was recorded for 6 out of 15 participants. The demographic and clinical characteristics of vaccinated participants are summarized in **Extended Data Table 1a**, with individual participant details presented in **Extended Data Table 4**.

### 2- Convalescent COVID-19 participants

COVID-19 convalescent volunteers (n = 15) were recruited from Groote Schuur Hospital in Cape Town (Western Cape, South Africa). Based on the reported date of infection, seven were likely infected with ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (prior to August 2020), while for other 8, the infection date occurred in December 2020, suggesting an infection with the Beta variant. Samples were obtained between January 19<sup>th</sup> and February 15<sup>th</sup>, 2021 prior to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination becoming available in South Africa. All had a documented positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR swab result or a positive SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid-specific antibody result (Roche Elecsys assay, Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). The median time post positive test was 1.4 months, ranging from 1 to 7 months. The demographic and clinical characteristics of convalescent volunteers are summarized in **Extended Data Table 1b**, with individual participant details presented in **Extended Data Table 3**.

3- Hospitalized COVID-19 patients

Sixty-eight hospitalized COVID-19 patients were included in this study. These participants were grouped according to the time of their hospitalization, reflecting four distinct infection waves in South Africa, each dominated by a different SARS-CoV-2 strain (Fig. 2b). Wave 1, 2 and 3 participants were recruited from Groote Schuur Hospital in Cape Town (Western Cape, South Africa) and wave 4 patients were recruited from Groote Schuur Hospital and Tshwane District Hospital in Tshwane (Gauteng, South Africa). Wave 1 patients (n = 17)were enrolled between June 11<sup>th</sup> and July 24<sup>th</sup>, 2020, at a time when ancestral (Wuhan-1 D614G)-related SARS-CoV-2 strains were circulating. No viral sequences are available for these patients, but we assumed that all were infected with a virus closely related to the ancestral virus, as sampling occurred almost three months before the emergence of the Beta variant in South Africa. Wave 2 patients (n = 16) were recruited between December  $31^{st}$ . 2020, and January 15<sup>th</sup>, 2021, when the Beta variant dominated. Viral sequences were available for six second wave participants, all of which were confirmed Beta infection (GISAID accession numbers: EPI ISL 1040693, 1040658, 1040661, 1040685, 1040657, 1040663). Wave 3 patients (n = 16) were recruited between July  $14^{\text{th}}$  and  $21^{\text{st}}$ , 2021. Wave 3 was dominated by the Delta variant. Viral sequences were available for seven third wave participants, all of which were confirmed to be Delta infection (GISAID accession numbers: EPI ISL 3506484, 3506367, 3957813, 3506504, 3506512, 3506518). Wave 4 patients (n = 19) were recruited between December 1<sup>st</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup>, 2021. The SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant was dominant during this current wave. Amongst those patients, nine had a Taqpath PCR test performed (Thermofisher, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA), all of which were characterized by S gene target failure, highly suggestive of an Omicron infection. Whilst we did not have confirmation of Omicron for the remaining samples, they were recruited at a time when Wave 4 was driven by Omicron infection (Fig. 2b; there was no concomitant Delta wave in South Africa as has occurred elsewhere), with the prevalence of Omicron in South Africa at the time of recruitment >90% by whole genome sequencing. Moreover, in Tshwane from where the remainder of the samples originated, Omicron was responsible for 98% of infections sequenced at the time of sampling (61/62 samples sequenced).

All hospitalized patients from wave 1, 2 and 4 were unvaccinated at the time of sampling. Third wave participants with known vaccination status were all unvaccinated (n=8), and the remainder (n=8) had unknown vaccination status. Moreover, all hospitalized patients from wave 1, 2 and 4 had no clinical record of a previous symptomatic COVID-19 episode, apart from one Wave 4 participant with an unknown history. The majority of wave 3 patients had an unknown history of prior COVID-19. The demographic and clinical characteristics of hospitalized COVID-19 participants are summarized in **Extended Data Table 1c**, and individual patient clinical data are presented in **Extended Data Table 3**.

### SARS-CoV-2 spike WGS and phylogenetic analysis

Whole genome sequencing (WGS) of SARS-CoV-2 was performed from nasopharyngeal swabs. Sequencing was performed as previously published<sup>2</sup>. Briefly, RNA was extracted on an automated Chemagic 360 instrument, using the CMG-1049 kit (Perkin Elmer, Hamburg, Germany). Libraries for whole genome sequencing were prepared using either the Oxford Nanopore Midnight protocol with Rapid Barcoding or the Illumina COVIDseq Assay. The quality control checks on raw sequence data and the genome assembly were performed using Genome Detective 1.133 (https://www.genomedetective.com) which was updated for the

accurate assembly and variant calling of tiled primer amplicon Illumina or Oxford Nanopore reads, and the Coronavirus Typing Tool. Phylogenetic classification of the genomes was done using the widespread dynamic lineage classification method from the 'Phylogenetic Assignment of Named Global Outbreak Lineages' (PANGOLIN) software suite (v1.2.106) (https://github.com/hCoV-2019/pangolin).

### **Isolation of PBMC**

Blood was collected in heparin tubes and processed within 4 hours of collection. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated by density gradient sedimentation using Ficoll-Paque (Amersham Biosciences, Little Chalfont, UK) as per the manufacturer's instructions and cryopreserved in freezing media consisting of heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Thermofisher Scientific) containing 10% DMSO and stored in liquid nitrogen until use.

### **SARS-CoV-2** antigens

For T cell assays on hospitalized patients, we used commercially available peptide pools (15mer sequences with a 11 amino acids overlap) covering the full length of the Wuhan-1 SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid, membrane and near full-length spike proteins (PepTivator®, Miltenyi Biotech, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). For spike, we combined i) a pool of peptides (15-mer sequences with 11 amino acids (aa) overlap) covering the ancestral Nterminal S1 domain of SARS-CoV-2 (GenBank MN908947.3, Protein QHD43416.1) from aa 1 to 692 and ii) a pool of peptides (15-mer sequences with 11 aa overlap) covering the immunodominant sequence domains of the ancestral C-terminal S2 domain of SARS-CoV-2 (GenBank MN908947.3, Protein QHD43416.1) including the sequence domains aa 683-707, aa 741-770, aa 785-802, and aa 885-1273. Pools were resuspended in distilled water at a concentration of 50 µg/mL and used at a final concentration of 1 µg/mL. To determine T cell responses to SARS-CoV-2 variants in vaccinated and convalescent volunteers, we used custom mega pools of peptides. These peptides (15-mers overlapping by 10 amino acids) spanned the entire spike protein corresponding to the ancestral Wuhan sequence (GenBank: MN908947), Beta (B.1.351; GISAID: EPI ISL 736932), Delta SARS-CoV-2 variants (B.1.617.2; GISAID: EPI ISL 2020950) or Omicron (B.1.1.529), carrying in the spike sequence all the 38 currently described mutations (A67V, H69del, V70del, T95l, G142D, V143del, Y144del, Y145del, S152W, N211del, L212l, ins214EPE, G339D, S371L, S373P, S375F, K417N, N440K, G446S, S477N, T478K, E484A, Q493R, G496S, Q498R, N501Y, Y505H, T547K, D614G, H655Y, N679K, P681H, N764K, D796Y, N856K, O954H, N969K, L981F). Briefly, peptides were synthesized as crude material (TC Peptide Lab, San Diego, CA). All individual peptides included in each mega pool are listed in **Supplementary Table** 1. All peptides were individually resuspended in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at a concentration of 10-20 mg/mL. Megapools for each antigen were created by pooling aliquots of these individual peptides in the respective SARS-CoV-2 spike sequences, followed by sequential lyophilization steps, and resuspension in DMSO at 1 mg/mL. There were 253 peptides in the Ancestral, Beta and Delta variant pool, and 254 peptides in the Omicron pool. Pools were used at a final concentration of 1 µg/mL with an equimolar DMSO concentration in the non-stimulated control.

### Cell stimulation and flow cytometry staining

Cryopreserved PBMC were thawed, washed and rested in RPMI 1640 containing 10% heatinactivated FCS for 4 hours prior to stimulation. PBMC were seeded in a 96-well V-bottom plate at  $\sim 2 \times 10^6$  PBMC per well and stimulated with either the commercial ancestral SARS-CoV-2 spike (S), Nucleocapsid (N) or membrane protein (M) peptide pools (1 µg/mL) obtained from Miltenvi or custom spike mega pools corresponding to the ancestral (Wuhan-1), Beta, Delta or Omicron variants (1  $\mu$ g/mL). All stimulations were performed in the presence of Brefeldin A (10 µg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) and co-stimulatory antibodies against CD28 (clone 28.2) and CD49d (clone L25) (1 µg/mL each; BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). As a negative control, PBMC were incubated with costimulatory antibodies, Brefeldin A and an equimolar amount of DMSO. After 16 hours of stimulation, cells were washed, stained with LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable VIVID Stain (1/2500, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and subsequently surface stained with the following antibodies: CD14 Pac Blue (1/100, TuK4, Invitrogen Thermofisher Scientific), CD19 Pac Blue (1/100, SJ25-C1, Invitrogen Thermofisher Scientific), CD4 PERCP-Cv5.5 (1/100, L200, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), CD8 BV510 (1/100, RPA-8, Biolegend, San Diego, CA, USA). Cells were then fixed and permeabilized using a Cytofix/Cyto perm buffer (BD Biosciences) and stained with CD3 BV650 (1/100, OKT3) IFN-y Alexa 700 (1/250, B27), TNF-α BV786 (1/100, Mab11) and IL-2 APC (1/100, MQ1-17H12) from Biolegend. Finally, cells were washed and fixed in CellFIX (BD Biosciences). Samples were acquired on a BD Fortessa flow cytometer and analyzed using FlowJo (v10.8, FlowJo LLC, Ashland, OR, USA) and Pestle and Spice v6.1 (https://niaid.github.io/spice). A gating strategy is provided in Extended Data Fig. 1. Results are expressed as the frequency of CD4+ or CD8+ T cells expressing IFN- $\gamma$ , TNF- $\alpha$  or IL-2. Due to high TNF- $\alpha$  backgrounds, cells producing TNF- $\alpha$ alone were excluded from the analysis. All data are presented after background subtraction.

### Live virus neutralization assay

A live neutralization assay was performed on plasma obtained from 10 out of the 15 participants vaccinated with BNT162b2 included in this study. H1299-E3 cells were plated in a 96-well plate (Corning) at 30,000 cells per well 1 day pre-infection. Plasma was separated from EDTA-anticoagulated blood by centrifugation at 500 rcf for 10 min and stored at -80 °C. Aliquots of plasma samples were heat-inactivated at 56 °C for 30 min and clarified by centrifugation at 10,000 rcf for 5 min. Virus stocks were used at approximately 50-100 focusforming units per microwell and added to diluted plasma. Antibody-virus mixtures were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C, 5% CO2. Cells were infected with 100 µL of the virus-antibody mixtures for 1 h, then 100 µL of a 1X RPMI 1640 (Sigma-Aldrich, R6504), 1.5% carboxymethylcellulose (Sigma-Aldrich, C4888) overlay was added without removing the inoculum. Cells were fixed 18 h post-infection using 4% PFA (Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min. Foci were stained with a rabbit anti-spike monoclonal antibody (BS-R2B12, GenScript A02058) at 0.5 µg/mL in a permeabilization buffer containing 0.1% saponin (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.05% Tween-20 (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS. Plates were incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4 °C, then washed with wash buffer containing 0.05% Tween-20 in PBS. Secondary goat anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase (Abcam ab205718) antibody was added at 1 µg/mL and incubated for 2 h at room temperature with shaking. TrueBlue peroxidase substrate (SeraCare 5510-0030) was then

added at 50  $\mu$ L per well and incubated for 20 min at room temperature. Plates were imaged in an ELISPOT instrument with built-in image analysis (C.T.L).

### SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus-based neutralization assay

A pseudovirus-based neutralization assay was performed on plasma obtained from all participants vaccinated with two doses of Ad26.COV2.S (n = 20). SARS-CoV-2 pseudotyped lentiviruses were prepared by co-transfecting the HEK 293T cell line with the SARS-CoV-2 614G spike (D614G) or SARS-CoV-2 Beta spike (L18F, D80A, D215G, K417N, E484K, N501Y, A701V, 242-244 del) plasmids with a firefly luciferase encoding lentivirus backbone plasmid. The parental plasmids were provided by Drs Elise Landais and Devin Sok (IAVI). For the neutralization assays, heat-inactivated plasma samples were incubated with SARS-CoV-2 pseudotyped virus for 1 hour at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Subsequently,  $1x10^4$  HEK293T cells engineered to overexpress ACE-2, provided by Dr Michael Farzan (Scripps Research Institute), were added and the incubated at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 72 hours, upon which the luminescence of the luciferase gene was measured. CB6 and CA1 monoclonal antibodies were used as controls.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed in Prism (v9; GraphPad Software Inc, San Diego, CA, USA). Non-parametric tests were used for all comparisons. The Mann-Whitney, Friedman and Wilcoxon tests were used for unmatched and paired samples, respectively. *P* values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Details of analysis performed for each experiment are described in the figure legends.

### **Reporting summary**

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this paper.

### Data availability

Datasets (raw data) underlying the figures have been provided as Source Data. Complete genome sequences for the viral isolates were deposited in GISAID.

Acknowledgements We are indebted to the participants who volunteered for this study. We thank Wesley van Hougenhouck-Tulleken for assistance with database management; Rajiev Ramlall and Jane Semugga for assistance with participant recruitment at Tshwane District Hospital. We thank Cathrine Scheepers and Josie Everatt for generous assistance with plotting lineage prevalence. We acknowledge Lavanya Singh and the NHLS Greenpoint Laboratory for PCR testing. We thank Biocair for assistance with shipping delays of critical reagents. Funding Research reported in this publication was supported by the South African Medical Research Council (SA-MRC) with funds received from the South African Department of Science and Innovation (DSI), including grants 96825, SHIPNCD 76756 and DST/CON 0250/2012. This work was also supported by the Poliomyelitis Research Foundation (21/65) and Wellcome CIDRI-Africa, which is supported by core funding from Wellcome Trust (203135/Z/16/Z and 222574). This project has been funded in part with Federal funds from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National

Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, under Contract No. 75N93021C00016 to A.Se. and Contract No. 75N9301900065 to A.Se., D.W. P.L.M. is supported by the SA Research Chairs Initiative of the DSI and the National Research Foundation (NRF; 9834). W.A.B. and C.R. are supported by the EDCTP2 programme of the European Union's Horizon 2020 programme (TMA2017SF-1951-TB-SPEC to C.R. and TMA2016SF-1535-CaTCH-22 to W.A.B.). N.A.B.N acknowledges funding from the SA-MRC, MRC UK and NRF. A.Se. acknowledges funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates award INV-018944, the NIH (AI138546) and the SA-MRC. R.J.W. acknowledges funding from the Francis Crick Institute, which receives funding from Wellcome FC0010218, UKRI FC0010218, CRUK FC0010218 and Rosetrees Trust (M926).

Author contributions W.A.B, C.R. and R.K. designed the study. C.R. and W.A.B analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. K.K., S.C., M.B., F.K. and S.V.M. performed neutralization assays and analyzed the data. R.K., M.B.T., A.N., R.B., N.B., and A.Su. performed flow cytometry assays. M.M., S.S., M.A., D.M., O.A., C.S., E.dB., M.A.vdM., Z.dB., T.R.dV. A.B., G.vdB, A.M., A.St., M.V. recruited patients and managed cohorts. A.G., D.W. and A.Se. designed and provided variant peptide pools. T.dO., G.J., S.N., Y.P. and H.T. generated and analyzed sequence data. T.M-G., J.B, P.L.M. and A.Si. supervised the neutralization assay. N.A.B.N., R.J.W., V.U., M.T.B and T.R. led the clinical cohorts. L.G.B and G.G. led the Sisonke Ad26.COV2.S vaccination trial. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

**Competing interests** A. Sette is a consultant for Gritstone Bio, Flow Pharma, Arcturus Therapeutics, ImmunoScape, CellCarta, Avalia, Moderna, Fortress and Repertoire. All of the other authors declare no competing interests. La Jolla Institute for Immunology has filed a patent for protection for various aspects of vaccine design and identification of specific epitopes.

### Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at

**Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to Wendy A. Burgers or Catherine Riou.

**Peer review information** *Nature* thanks Antonio Bertoletti, Katherine Kedzierska and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.



Days after last dose (median, IQR)

Prior COVID-19 infection (n, %)

Months after COVID-19 episode



20

H



Vaccinee and convalescent cohorts

BNT162b2

(2 doses)

15

53 [45-71]

33 [22-45]

6/15 (40%)

14 [13-15]

(no vax)

15

na

15/15 (100%)

1.4 [1.3-6]

20

Ad26-COV.S

(2 doses)

20

38 [33-56]

22 [21-24]

14/20 (70%)

15 [11-18]

Ad26-COV.S

(1 dose)

20

41 [32-52]

28 [28-32]

13/20 (65%)

3 [2-6]\*

Fig. 1 | T cell response to the ancestral and Omicron SARS-CoV-2 spike after vaccination and in unvaccinated COVID-19 convalescent patients. a, Clinical characteristics of the study groups. \*: data regarding time post Covid-19 infection were available for only 6 out of the 13 participants who received one dose of Ad26.COV2.S. b, Proportion of participants exhibiting an ancestral spike-specific CD4+ T cell response after vaccination with one or two doses of Ad26.COV2.S or two doses of BNT162b2. c, Profile of the ancestral spike-specific T cell response in vaccinees and convalescent individuals. d, Representative examples of IFN-y production in response to ancestral and Omicron spike in two individuals who received two doses of Ad26.COV2.S. e.g. Frequency of spike-specific CD4+ (e) and CD8+ T cells (g) producing any of the measured cytokines (IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-2 or TNF- $\alpha$ ) in response to ancestral and Omicron spike peptide pools. Bars represent median of responders. Differences between SARS-CoV-2 variants were calculated using a two-tailed Wilcoxon paired test. f, h, Fold change in the frequency of spike-specific CD4+ (f) and CD8+ T cells (h) between ancestral and Omicron spike responses. Bars represent medians. No significant differences were observed between groups using a Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons post-test. The number of participants included in each analysis is indicated on the graphs.

n

Age (median, IQR)



### Fig. 2 | T cell response to ancestral SARS-CoV-2 in unvaccinated hospitalized COVID-19 patients infected with the ancestral, Beta, Delta or Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variants.

**a**, Clinical characteristics of the study groups. Severe disease was defined based on oxygen therapy requirement according to the WHO ordinal scale scoring system ( $O_2$  via high flow to ECMO). **b**, SARS-CoV-2 epidemiological dynamics in South Africa showing the prevalence of different SARS-CoV-2 strains (based on 24,762 sequences; left axis) and the number of COVID-19 cases (right axis). The bars on top of the graph indicate the periods when samples were collected for each epidemic wave. **c**,**d**, Frequency of SARS-CoV-2-specific CD4+ (**c**) and CD8+ T cells (**d**) producing any of the measured cytokines (IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-2 or TNF- $\alpha$ ) in response to ancestral SARS-CoV-2 spike (S), nucleocapsid (N) and membrane (M) peptide pools. Pies depict the proportion of participants exhibiting a detectable T cell response to each protein. **e**, Comparison of T cell response to ancestral or Omicron spike in Omicron-infected patients. Bars represent medians of responders. No significant differences were observed between antigens amongst responders using a Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons post-test. The number of participants included in each analysis is indicated on the graphs.



**Extended Data Fig. 1** | **Gating strategy and examples of flow cytometry plots. a**, Gating strategy and representative examples of SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-2 and TNF- $\alpha$  production. **b**, Spike-specific expression of IFN- $\gamma$  in the T cell compartment of the three BNT162b2-vaccinated participants where Omicron-specific CD8+ T cells were undetectable.

CCK.



Extended Data Fig. 2 | Neutralization of Omicron compared to the ancestral SARS-CoV-2 (D614G) by plasma from participants vaccinated with two doses of BNT162b2 or Ad26.COV2.S. a, Neutralization by BNT162b2 plasma (n = 10), 6 with prior COVID-19 infection and 4 without) was performed using a live virus neutralization assay. The reciprocal plasma dilution (FRNT<sub>50</sub>) resulting in 50% reduction in the number of infection foci is reported. The threshold of detection was set at a FRNT<sub>50</sub> of 20. A two-tailed paired Wilcoxon test was used to compare ancestral and Omicron titers. Comparison of the fold change in SARS-CoV-2-specific CD8+ and CD4+ T cell responses and neutralization titers (Omicron/ancestral) is depicted in the right panel. Bars represent medians. **b**, Neutralization against ancestral, Beta and Omicron variants by plasma from Ad26.COV2.S vaccinees (two doses; n = 19), including 14 with prior COVID-19 infection and 5 without, was performed using a SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus-based neutralization assay. The threshold of detection was a 50% inhibitory dilution (ID<sub>50</sub>) of 20. A Friedman test with Dunn's multiple comparisons post-test was used to compare the titers of the three variants tested. Comparison of the fold change in SARS-CoV-2-specific CD8+ and CD4+ T cell response and neutralization titers (Omicron/ancestral) is depicted in the right panel. Bars represent medians.





Extended Data Fig. 3 | Polyfunctional profiles of SARS-CoV-2-specific CD4+ T cells after vaccination and in unvaccinated convalescent volunteers. a, b, Comparison of the polyfunctional profile of ancestral (a) and Omicron (b) spike-specific CD4+ T cells between the four groups (Ad26.COV2.S-one dose, Ad26. COV.S-two doses, BNT162b2-two doses and unvaccinated convalescent volunteers). c, Comparison of the polyfunctional profile between ancestral and Omicron spike-specific CD4+ T cells including all CD4+ T cell responding participants, irrespective of their clinical grouping. The medians and IQR are shown. Each response pattern (i.e., any possible combination of IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-2 or TNF- $\alpha$  expression) is color  $\Box$  coded, and data are summarized in the pie charts. No significant differences were observed between pies using a permutation test. The number of participants included in each analysis is indicated on the graphs.





Extended Data Fig. 4 | Polyfunctional profiles of SARS-CoV-2-specific CD8+ T cells after vaccination and in unvaccinated convalescent volunteers. a, b, Comparison of the polyfunctional profile of ancestral (a) and Omicron (b) spike-specific CD8+ T cells between the four groups (Ad26.COV2.S-one dose, Ad26.COV2.S-two doses, BNT162b2-two doses and unvaccinated convalescent COVID-19 volunteers). c, Comparison of the polyfunctional profile between ancestral spike and Omicron spike-specific CD8+ T cells including all CD8+ T cell responding participants, irrespective of their clinical grouping. The medians and IQR are shown. Each response pattern (i.e., any possible combination of IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-2 or TNF- $\alpha$  expression) is color coded, and data are summarized in the pie charts. No significant differences were observed between pies using a permutation test. The number of participants included in each analysis is indicated on the graphs.



Extended Data Fig. 5 | T cell responses to the ancestral, Beta, Delta and Omicron SARS-CoV-2 spike in participants who received Ad26.COV2.S (one or two doses). a, Frequency of spike-specific CD4+ (left panel) and CD8+ T cells (right panel) producing any of the measured cytokines (IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-2 or TNF- $\alpha$ ) in response to ancestral, Beta, Delta and Omicron spike peptide pools. Bars represent median of responders. No significant differences were observed between variants using a Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons post-test. b, Fold change in the frequency of spike-specific CD4+ (left panel) and CD8+ T cells (right panel) between ancestral and Omicron spike responses. Bars represent medians. Differences between SARS-CoV-2 variants were calculated using a Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons posttest. Median fold changes are indicated at the bottom of each graph. The number of participants included in each analysis is indicated on the graphs.



**Extended Data Fig. 6 | Impact of prior COVID-19 infection on T cell responses to the ancestral and Omicron SARS-CoV-2 spike in vaccinated participants. a**, Comparison of the frequency of ancestral spike-specific T cell responses in vaccinated participants who had (Y) or did not have (N) prior SARS-CoV-2 infection. Pies depict the proportion of participants exhibiting a detectable CD8+ T cell response. Bars represent medians. Statistical differences were calculated using a two-tailed Mann-Whitney test. **b**, Fold change in the frequency of spike-specific CD4+ T cells between ancestral and Omicron spike responses in the three vaccine groups. Bars represent medians. Statistical differences were calculated using a two-tailed Mann-Whitney test. The number of participants included in each analysis is indicated on the graphs.



### Extended Data Fig. 7 | Distribution of spike SARS-CoV-2 epitopes targeted by CD4+ and CD8+ T

**cells. a,** Schematic of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein primary structure colored by domain. NTD: N-terminal domain, RBD: receptor binding domain, SD1: Sub-domain 1, SD2: Sub-domain 2. **b**, Distribution and frequency of recognition of confirmed CD4+ (top) and CD8+ T cell epitopes (bottom) across the entire spike protein. Data represent experimentally confirmed epitopes from the Immune Epitope Database and Analysis Resource (www.iedb.org). Red lines depict the position of Omicron mutations that recorded a frequency of recognition > 10% and blue lines <10%. The position of variable epitopes associated with specific HLA-class I (see Extended Table 2) is indicated by a triangle. Mutations with a detrimental or neutral impact for HLA binding are depicted in orange and green, respectively.

CE

Table 1a:			
Vaccinee cohorts	Vaccinees (all)	Without prior infection	With prior infection
Ad26.COV2.S (Janssen) - 1 dose			
Number	20	7	13
Age (y) (median, IQR)	41 [32-52]	44 [32-54]	41 [32-48]
Gender (% female)	75%	71.4%	76.9%
With co-morbidities (n, %)	6/20 (30%)	2/7 (28.6%)	4/13 (30.8%)
Days since last vaccine dose (median, IQR)	28 [28-32]	31 [28-34]	28 [27-29]
Months since COVID episode (median, IQR)	-	na	3 [2-6]^
Ad26.COV2.S (Janssen) - 2 doses			
Number	20	6	14
Age (y) (median, IQR)	38 [33-56]	58 [36-62]	36 [32-44]
With co-morbidities (n %)	9/20 (45%)	4/6 (66 7%)	71.4% 5/14 (35.7%)
Davs since last vaccine dose (median IOR)	22 [21-24]	23 [21-25]	22 [21-23]
Months since COVID episode (median, IQR)	-	na	15 [11-18]
BNT162b2 (Pfizer) - 2 doses			
Number	15	9	6
Age (y) (median, IQR)	53 [45-71]	53 [41-74]	57 [44-66]
Gender (% female)	53.3%	44.4%	66.7%
With co-morbidities (n, %)	9/15 (60%)	4/9 (44.4%)	5/6 (83.3%)
Days since last vaccine dose (median, IQR)	33 [22-48]	46 [23-65]	27 [20-38]
	-		14 [13-13]
able 1b:		5	
Convalescent cohort (unvaccinated)			
Number	15		
Age (y) (median, IQR)	39 [35-49]		
Gender (% female)	12%		
With co-morbidities (n. %)	6/15 (40%)		

with co-morbidities (n, %)	0/15 (40%)
Months since COVID episode (median, IQR)	1.4 [1.3-6]

Table	1c:
-------	-----

Hospitalized COVID-19 cohort	Total	Wave 1 (Ancestral)	Wave 2 (Beta)	Wave 3 (Delta)	Wave 4 (Omicron)
Number	68	17	16	16	19
Age (y) (median, range)	53 [39-64]	55 [41-62]	64 [53-68]	49 [37-63]	47 [35-60]
Gender (% female)	47%	29.4%	56.2%	56.2%	47.4%
With co-morbidities (n, %)	53/68 (77.9%)	11/17 (64.7%)	15/16 (93.7%)	13/16 (81.2%)	14/19 (73.7%)
Day since PCR+ (median, range)	4 [2-8]	2 [1-3.5]	5 [2-10]	6 [2-10]	4 [3-6]
Severe COVID-19 (WHO ≥5)	29/68 (42.6%)	7/17 (41.2%)	1/16 (6.25%)	12/16 (75%)	9/19 (47.4%)

### **Extended Data Table 1 Clinical characteristics of vaccinated, convalescent, and hospitalized COVID-19 participants.**

**Table 1a**: Clinical characteristics of vaccinee cohorts. Co-morbidities include: asthma, hypertension, obesity or diabetes mellitus.\*: data regarding time post Covid-19 infection were available for only 6 out of the 13 participants who received 1 dose of Ad26-COV.S. **Table 1b**: Clinical characteristics of convalescent COVID-19 patients. Co-morbidities include: asthma, hypertension, obesity or diabetes mellitus. **Table 1c**: Clinical characteristics of hospitalized COVID-19 patient cohort. Co- morbidities include: asthma, hypertension, obesity or diabetes mellitus. Severe COVID-19 was defined based on oxygen therapy requirement according to the WHO ordinal scale scoring system (O<sub>2</sub> via high flow to extracorporeal membrane oxygenation).

	peptide (WT)	aa start	aa stop	Mutation	Peptide (Omicron)	MHC class-l allele	P rank (WT)	P rank (Omi)	IC <sub>50</sub> (WT)	IC₅₀ (Omi)	Previously described										
		60	70			HLA-A*02:11	0.64	18	18.91	8421.29	Dang 2021										
	VIVENAINV	62	70	A67 V, del 69-70	VIWFHVISG	HLA-A*68:02	0.26	9.3	45.32	8237.36	Deng, 2021										
	GVYYHKNNK	142	150	G142D, 143-145 del	DHKNNKSWM	HLA-A*03:01	0.07	89	27.86	42735.87	Tarke, 2021										
	ASESTEKOV	270	200	6272D 6275E		HLA-A*30:02	0.06	2.8	43.85	2759.86											
<del>a</del>	ASESTERUT	572	300	3373P, 3375P	APFFIFKUT	HLA-B*15:03	0.26	2.5	25.16	495.99											
Jent		610	620	D614C		HLA-A*02:131	0.53	1.3	49.38	149.98	Tarka 2021										
etrin	TQDVINCTEV	012	020	D014G	TQUINCTEV	HLA-A*02:11	0.95	2	31.58	93.34	Tarke, 2021										
ŏ						HLA-B*07:02	0.02	2.9	4.65	4721.01											
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	DC0411		HLA-B*07:05	0.03	3	4.51	2591.37	Tarla 2024										
	SPRRARSVA	680	688	Posin	SHRRARSVA	HLA-B*07:06	0.03	3	4.51	2591.37	Tarke, 2021										
						HLA-B*42:02	0.03	3.6	38.64	8401.27											
	QLNRALTGI	762	770	N764K	QL <mark>K</mark> RALTGI	HLA-A*02:11	0.84	4	27.13	440.69											
	OWEASTER	00	07	TOFI		HLA-A*11:01	0.03	0.02	9.66	6.98	Terke 2021										
	GVIFASIEK	09	31	31	31	1951	GVIFASIEK	HLA-A*03:01	0.05	0.04	19.15	17.74	Tarke, 2021								
						HLA-A*68:01	0.02	0.06	4.4	8.51											
	NSASFSTFK	370	379	379	379	379	379	379	379	379	379	379	S371L, S373P, S375F	NLAPFFTFK	HLA-A*34:02	0.02	0.01	16.17	11.26	Tarke, 2021	
						HLA-A*11:01	0.03	0.03	9.13	10.02											
	YNSASFSTF	369	378	S371L, S373P, S375F	YNLAPFFTF	HLA-B*15:03	0.05	0.18	6.63	18.49											
		117	125	K/17N		HLA-A*02:02	0.07	0.17	6.58	11.64	Shomuradova,										
. <del>.</del> .	RIAD IN THE	417	420	N+1/N	NIADTININE	HLA-A*02:05	0.09	0.16	13.49	27.89	2021										
eutr	VGGNYNYLY	445	453	G446S	V <mark>S</mark> GNYNYLY	HLA-A*30:02	0.06	0.02	45.32	20.21	Tarke, 2021										
z	SKVGGNYNY	443	451	G446S	SKV <mark>S</mark> GNYNY	HLA-B*15:03	0.26	0.17	25.38	17.47	Tarke, 2021										
	YFPLQSYGF	489	498	Q493R	YFPLRSYSF	HLA-A*23:01	0.12	0.06	49.01	24.54	Zhang, 2021										
	GSFCTQLNR	757	765	N764K	GSFCTQL <mark>K</mark> R	HLA-A*11:01	0.18	0.26	37.18	53.31											
	QLNRALTGI	762	770	N764K	QL <mark>K</mark> RALTGI	HLA-A*02:03	0.25	0.47	15.69	27.68											
						HLA-A*02:02	0.04	0.05	4.16	4.36											
		070	070	005	10915		HLA-A*02:03	0.06	0.08	5.19	6.38	Tarka 2021									
	VLINDILOKL	910	900	LAOIL	VLINDIFORL	HLA-A*02:11	0.09	0.09	3.4	3.5	1 di Ne, 202 i										
						HLA-A*02:05	0.09	0.09	14.04	14.07											

### Extended Data Table 2 In silico analysis of the impact of Omicron mutations on epitope recognition by MHC Class I.

Putative HLA class I restrictions were inferred using the Immune Epitope Database (IEDB) analysis resource (http://tools.iedb.org/tepitool/, NetMHCpan prediction method). Selected ancestral peptides with predicted a percentile rank (P rank)  $\leq$  1 and a IC50 < 50 nM are shown, and the binding predictions for the corresponding Omicron mutated epitope. References of previously described immunoreactive peptides (WT) are provided in the last column. Deng et al. (doi.org/10.1002/JLB.4MA0621-020R); Tarke et al. (doi: 10.1016/j. xcrm.2021.100204); Shomuradova et al. (doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2020.11.004), Zhang et al. (doi.org/10.1016/j. celrep.2021.109708).

Participant ID	Sex	Age	Date of blood sampling	Time since COVID-19 diagnosis (days)	Vaccination status	Evidence of prior symptomatic COVID-19	WHO score at sampling	Hyper- tension	Diabetes	Obesity	Asthma	Outcome	
Hosp-Wave 4-001	Male	36	2021/12/07	9	unvaccinated	No	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 4-002	Female	26	2021/12/01	5	unvaccinated	No	4	No	Yes	No	No	Still admitted	1
Hosp-Wave 4-003	Female	17	2021/12/06	3	unvaccinated	No	4	No	Yes	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 4-004	Female	24	2021/12/07	4	unvaccinated	No	4	No	Yes	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 4-005	Female	63	2021/12/08	3	unvaccinated	No	4	No	No	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 4-006	Male	59	2021/12/14	4	unvaccinated	No	6	Yes	Yes	No	No	Still admitted	1
Hosp-Wave 4-007	Female	62	2021/12/07	8	unvaccinated	No	5	Yes	No	Yes	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 4-008	Male	58	2021/12/13	10	unvaccinated	No	5	Yes	Yes	No	No	Discharged	
Hosp-Wave 4-009	Male	49	2021/12/13	8	unvaccinated	No	4	No	No	No	No	Discharged	
Hosp-Wave 4-010	Female	71	2021/12/07	4	unvaccinated	No	5	Yes	Yes	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 4-011	Male	60	2021/12/07	1	unvaccinated	No	4	Yes	Yes	No	No	Discharged	
Hosp-Wave 4-012	Male	62	2021/12/13	3	unvaccinated	No	5	No	No	No	No	Discharged	
Hosp-Wave 4-013	Female	38	2021/12/13	5	unvaccinated	No	5	No	Yes	No	No	Still admitted	
Hosp-Wave 4-014	Male	49	2021/12/13	1	unvaccinated	No	4	Yes	No	No	No	Still admitted	
Hosp-Wave 4-015	Male	47	2021/12/13	4	unvaccinated	No	4	No	No	No	No	Discharged	
Hosp-Wave 4-016	Female	35	2021/12/14	5	unvaccinated	No	5	No	No	No	No	Discharged	
Hosp-Wave 4-017	Female	21	2021/12/14	4	unvaccinated	No	5	NO	No	No	No	Discharged	
Hosp-wave 4-018	Male	38	2021/12/15	6	unvaccinated	Unk	3	Yes	NO	NO	NO	Discharged	
Hosp-Wave 4-019	Female	3/	2021/12/15	6	unvaccinated	NO	3	NO	NO	NO	NO No	Discharged	
Hosp-Wave 3-001	Female	33	2021/07/14	9	Unvaccinated	No	5	Vee	Tes No	NO Voc	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 3-002	Mala	36	2021/07/14	6	Unix	No	2	No	Vec	No	No	Discharged	
Hosp-Wave 3-003	Female	42	2021/07/15	6	unvaccinated		5	Yee	No	No	No	Discharged	
Hosp-Wave 3-004	Mala	50	2021/07/15	2	Ink		5	Yee	Yee	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 3-006	Female	64	2021/07/15	4	Unk	Unk	6	No	No	Yes	No	Demised	1
Hosp-Wave 3-007	Male	64	2021/07/16	2	Unk	Unk	3	No	No	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 3-008	Male	47	2021/07/19	2	unvaccinated	Unk	3	Yes	Yes	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 3-009	Male	29	2021/07/19	11	unvaccinated	Unk	6	No	Yes	Yes	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 3-010	Female	33	2021/07/19	6	unvaccinated	Unk	5	Yes	No	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 3-011	Female	42	2021/07/19	12	unvaccinated	Unk	5	No	No	Yes	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 3-012	Female	52	2021/07/19	26	Unk	Unk	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Demised	1
Hosp-Wave 3-013	Female	44	2021/07/20	2	unvaccinated	Unk	5	Yes	Yes	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 3-014	Female	72	2021/07/20	2	Unk	Unk	6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Demised	1
Hosp-Wave 3-015	Male	53	2021/07/20	3	Unk	Unk	5	No	No	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 3-016	Male	51	2021/07/21	12	Unk	Unk	4	No	No	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 2-001	Male	64	2020/12/31	3	unvaccinated	No	3	No	Yes	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 2-002	Male	68	2021/01/06	20	unvaccinated	No	4	Yes	Yes	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 2-003	Female	61	2021/01/06	2	unvaccinated	No	4	Yes	Yes	No	No	Discharged	4
Hosp-Wave 2-004	Female	65	2021/01/06	14	unvaccinated	No	4	No	No	No	No	Discharged	4
Hosp-Wave 2-005	Female	53	2021/01/08	8	unvaccinated	No	4	Yes	Yes	No	No	Discharged	4
Hosp-Wave 2-006	Female	68	2021/01/08	6	unvaccinated	No	4	No	Yes	No	No	Discharged	4
Hosp-Wave 2-007	Male	64	2021/01/12	2	unvaccinated	NO	4	Yes	Yes	NO	NO	Discharged	4
Hosp-wave 2-008	Male	45	2021/01/12	5	unvaccinated	NO	4	Yes	Yes	NO	NO	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 2-009	Male	22	2021/01/13	5	unvaccinated	No	4	Vec	No	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 2-010	Fomalo	73	2021/01/13	9	unvaccinated	No	3	Vos	No	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 2-012	Female	53	2021/01/13	4	unvaccinated	No	4	Yes	No	Yes	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 2-013	Female	64	2021/01/13	2	unvaccinated	No	4	Yes	Yes	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 2-014	Female	67	2021/01/13	14	unvaccinated	No	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 2-015	Female	68	2021/01/15	11	unvaccinated	No	5	Yes	Yes	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 2-016	Male	49	2021/01/15	4	unvaccinated	No	3	No	Yes	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 1-001	Male	68.1	2020/06/11	2	unvaccinated	No	3	Yes	Yes	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 1-002	Male	36.1	2020/06/12	11	unvaccinated	No	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 1-003	Female	21.2	2020/06/18	3	unvaccinated	No	3	No	No	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 1-004	Male	56.4	2020/06/22	2	unvaccinated	No	4	No	No	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 1-005	Male	49.3	2020/06/22	2	unvaccinated	No	3	No	Yes	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 1-006	Female	55.5	2020/06/25	4	unvaccinated	No	5	No	No	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 1-007	Male	41.2	2020/06/25	1	unvaccinated	No	4	Yes	No	No	No	Discharged	4
Hosp-Wave 1-008	Female	63.5	2020/06/25		unvaccinated	No	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Discharged	4
Hosp-wave 1-009	Female	37.9	2020/06/25		unvaccinated	No	4	No	No	Yes	NO	Discharged	4
Hosp-vvave 1-010	Male	58.0	2020/06/29	1	unvaccinated	NO	4	Yes	Yes	Yes	NO	Discharged	4
Hosp-vvave 1-011	Iviale Mala	49.6	2020/07/02		unvaccinated	NO No	5	res	NO No	INO N-	NO N-	Discharged	1
Hosp-wave 1-012	Male	63.7	2020/07/02	1	unvaccinated	NO	5	NO No	NO	NO	NO	Discharged	4
Hosp-wave 1-013	Male	55.0	2020/07/03	3	unvaccinated	NO	5	NO	NO	NO	NO	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 1-014	Male	41.0	2020/07/10	14	unvaccinated	No	5	Voc	NU Voc	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 1-015	Male	67.7	2020/07/10	8	unvaccinated	No	5	Ves	Vee	No	No	Discharged	1
Hosp-Wave 1-010	Female	56.4	2020/07/24	2	unvaccinated	No	5	Yes	No	Yes	No	Discharged	1
Convalescent-001	Female	40	2021/01/19	213	unvaccinated	No	na	Yes	No	No	No	na	1
Convalescent-002	Female	45	2021/02/12	178	unvaccinated	No	na	Yes	No	No	No	na	1
Convalescent-003	Female	35	2021/01/19	183	unvaccinated	No	na	No	No	No	No	na	1
Convalescent-004	Female	35	2021/01/19	148	unvaccinated	No	na	No	No	No	No	na	1
Convalescent-005	Male	31	2021/01/19	213	unvaccinated	No	na	No	No	No	No	na	1
Convalescent-006	Female	52	2021/02/15	243	unvaccinated	No	na	Yes	No	Yes	No	na	1
Convalescent-007	Female	35	2021/01/26	38	unvaccinated	No	na	Yes	No	No	No	na	1
Convalescent-008	Female	55	2021/01/19	179	unvaccinated	No	na	No	No	No	No	na	1
Convalescent-009	Female	49	2021/01/21	30	unvaccinated	No	na	Yes	No	No	No	na	1
Convalescent-010	Male	36	2021/01/20	35	unvaccinated	No	na	No	No	No	No	na	1
Convalescent-011	Female	48	2021/01/21	40	unvaccinated	No	na	No	No	No	No	na	4
Convalescent-012	Male	39	2021/02/03	42	unvaccinated	No	na	No	No	No	No	na	4
Convalescent-013	Female	29	2021/02/01	38	unvaccinated	No	na	No	No	No	No	na	4
Convalescent-014	Female	32	2021/02/03	38	unvaccinated	No	na	No	No	No	No	na	4
Convalescent-015	Female	57	2021/02/03	38	unvaccinated	No	na	Yes	No	No	No	na	j –

Extended Data Table 3 Clinical characteristics of each hospitalized and convalescent COVID-19 participant.

Unk: unknown. Na: not applicable

Jult 1:00:01         F         H         Issee Add COV2-S         2021/02/16         na	Participant ID	Sex	Age	Date of blood sampling	Vaccine regimen	Date of 1st vaccine dose	Date of 2nd vaccine dose	Time between vaccination and sampling (days)	COVID-19 history prior to sampling	Date of prior infection	Time between prior infection and sampling (months)	Hypertension	Diabetes	Obesity	Asthma
JL112         JL112         No         No         No         No         No           JL112         NO         10000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         1000         10000         10000         10000         10000         10000         10000         10000         10000         10000         10000         10000         10000         10000         10000        <	JJ (1x)-001	F	44	2021/03/18	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/18	na	28	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	No
JJ (1):003         F         63         2021/04/06         f. toos Ad26 COV2-S         2021/02/4         na         43         No prior Infraction         na         na         No	JJ (1x)-002	М	58	2021/03/23	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/17	na	34	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	No
J.J. (1)         Participation	JJ (1x)-003	F	53	2021/04/08	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/24	na	43	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	No
J.J. (1)         Lub         Aug         221         No.         No	JJ (1x)-004	F	32	2021/03/23	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/24	na	27	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	Yes
J.J. (J. 10)         M         Boy         Description         na         The State         No         <	JJ (1x)-005	F	54	2021/03/18	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/18	na	28	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	No
JU (1)         P         34         20210323         1 dose Ad28.COV2.8         20210219         na         32         No prior infection         22110102         3.3         No	JJ (1x)-006	М	30	2021/03/24	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/21	na	31	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	No
Jul (14)-036 F 44 2021/03/18 1 does Ad28.COV2.8 2021/02/18 na 28 Prior Infection 2021/01/04 2.40 No No No No No No No Jul (14)-031 M 442 2021/0321 1 does Ad28.COV2.8 2021/02/19 na 28 Prior Infection 2020/12/19 2.83 No No No No No No Jul (14)-031 M 442 2021/0321 1 does Ad28.COV2.8 2021/02/19 na 28 Prior Infection 2020/12/19 2.83 No No No No No No Jul (14)-031 F 45 2021/0324 1 does Ad28.COV2.8 2021/02/19 na 28 Prior Infection 2020/12/19 2.83 No No No No No No Jul (14)-031 F 45 2021/0324 1 does Ad28.COV2.8 2021/02/19 na 28 Prior Infection Unk Unk No No No No No Jul (14)-031 F 45 2021/0324 1 does Ad28.COV2.8 2021/02/29 na 28 Prior Infection Unk Unk No No No No No Jul (14)-035 F 27 2021/04/22 1 does Ad28.COV2.8 2021/02/29 na 28 Prior Infection 2020/07/08 9.47 No No No No No Jul (14)-035 F 28 2021/0326 1 does Ad28.COV2.8 2021/02/29 na 28 Prior Infection 2020/07/08 9.47 No No No No No Jul (14)-035 F 28 2021/0326 1 does Ad28.COV2.8 2021/02/29 na 28 Prior Infection Unk Unk No No No No No Jul (14)-037 F 53 2021/0326 1 does Ad28.COV2.8 2021/02/29 na 28 Prior Infection Unk Unk No No No No No Jul (14)-037 F 54 2021/03/26 1 does Ad28.COV2.8 2021/02/28 na 28 Prior Infection Unk Unk No No No No No Jul (14)-037 F 55 2021/03/26 Jul does Ad28.COV2.8 2021/02/28 na 28 Prior Infection Unk Unk No No No No No No Jul (14)-037 F 55 2021/03/19 Jul does Ad28.COV2.8 2021/02/19 20/11/12 24 No prior Infection Unk Unk No No No No No No Jul (14)-037 F 55 2021/12/07 2021/02/28 2021/02/19 20/21/11/12 24 No prior Infection In A No No No No No No Jul (24)-003 F 56 2021/12/07 2032/10/29 2021/11/12 22/11/11/2 24 No prior Infection In A No	JJ (1x)-007	F	33	2021/03/23	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/19	na	32	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	Yes
JL (1)         JU (1) <thju (1)<="" th=""> <thju (1)<="" th="">         JU (1)<td>JJ (1x)-008</td><td>F</td><td>34</td><td>2021/03/18</td><td>1 dose Ad26.COV2.S</td><td>2021/02/18</td><td>na</td><td>28</td><td>Prior Infection</td><td>2021/01/04</td><td>2.40</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td></thju></thju>	JJ (1x)-008	F	34	2021/03/18	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/18	na	28	Prior Infection	2021/01/04	2.40	No	No	No	No
JU (Ju)-10         M         42         20/10/21         100/21         20/21/21         20/21/21         20/21	JJ (1x)-009	M	30	2021/03/23	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/22	na	29	Prior Infection	2020/12/18	3.13	No	No	No	No
July 19-11         M         Signal         Automatic         Automatic         Automatic         Automatic         Automatic         Automatic         Automatic           J11 (b)-014         F         36         Automatic         Au	JJ (1x)-010	M	42	2021/03/18	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/18	na	28	Prior Infection	2020/12/19	2.93	NO	NO	NO	Yes
J.J. 10,215         In         J. 201,0275         Lobes Add8.00025         2021/0275         Has         Lob         Lob         No         No         No           J.110,2015         F         83         2021/0225         Lobes Add8.00025         2021/0225         Reg         2021/0225         Reg         2021/0225         Reg         2021/0225         Reg         2021/0226         Reg         No	JJ (1x)-011	F	38	2021/03/17	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/18	na	27	Prior Infection	2020/10/07	5.30	No	No	NO	NO
JL 10;0-11         F         88         202110225         na         28         Prior Infection         Unik         No         No         No           JL 10;0-115         F         27         22110326         1 does Add8 COV2.5         22110324         na         29         Prior Infection         20210716         1.25         No         No         No         No           JL 10;1-017         F         33         22110324         1 does Add8 COV2.5         222110216         na         28         Prior Infection         Unik         Unik         No         No <td>JJ(1x)-012</td> <td></td> <td>13</td> <td>2021/03/24</td> <td>1 dose Ad26.COV2.S</td> <td>2021/02/24</td> <td>na</td> <td>20</td> <td>Prior Infection</td> <td>Unk</td> <td>Unk</td> <td>Voc</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td>	JJ(1x)-012		13	2021/03/24	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/24	na	20	Prior Infection	Unk	Unk	Voc	No	No	No
J. II. (b)16         F         27         20210708         0.47         No.	JJ(1x)-013	F	43	2021/03/25	1 dose Ad26 COV2.5	2021/02/19	na	28	Prior Infection	Unk	Unk	No	No	No	No
J. H. 14, Vol16         F         22         2021/02/16         1.25         No.         No.         No.         No.         No.           J. H. 14, Vol.7         F         53         2021/02/16         1.25         No.	JJ (1x)-014	F	27	2021/03/23	1 dose Ad26 COV2.5	2021/02/23	na	20	Prior Infection	2020/07/08	9.47	No	No	No	No
JJ (14):017         F         53         202103/18         1 does Ad28 COV2.5         20210/2118         na         28         Prior Infection         Unit.         Unit. <thunit.< th=""> <t< td=""><td>.l.l (1x)-016</td><td>F</td><td>28</td><td>2021/03/26</td><td>1 dose Ad26 COV2 S</td><td>2021/02/26</td><td>na</td><td>28</td><td>Prior Infection</td><td>2021/02/16</td><td>1.25</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td></t<></thunit.<>	.l.l (1x)-016	F	28	2021/03/26	1 dose Ad26 COV2 S	2021/02/26	na	28	Prior Infection	2021/02/16	1.25	No	No	No	No
JJ (1)         If J         des         J         J         J         Like         No         Vision         No         Yes         No           JJ (1)         F         51         2021/00/01         1 does Ad26 COV2.5         2021/00/09         na         23         Prior Infection         Unk         No         Yes         No         Yes         No         Yes         No         Yes         No         No         Yes         No         No         No         No         Yes         No         No <t< td=""><td>JJ (1x)-017</td><td>F</td><td>53</td><td>2021/03/18</td><td>1 dose Ad26.COV2.S</td><td>2021/02/18</td><td>na</td><td>28</td><td>Prior Infection</td><td>Unk</td><td>Unk</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>Yes</td><td>No</td></t<>	JJ (1x)-017	F	53	2021/03/18	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/18	na	28	Prior Infection	Unk	Unk	No	No	Yes	No
JJ (12)         F         51         2021/14/01         1 dose Ad26 COV2.S         2021/03/09         na         23         Prior Infection         Unk         No         No         No           JJ (12)         COV2.S         2021/02/27         2021/11/20         2 doses Ad26 COV2.S         2021/02/27         2021/11/11/12         24         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No           JJ (22)         COV2.S         2021/02/27         2021/11/11/1         24         No prior Infection         na         na         No	JJ (1x)-018	F	46	2021/03/31	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/03/09	na	22	Prior Infection	Unk	Unk	No	No	Yes	No
JJ (12)         F         9         2021/12/02         2021/02/12         2021/02/19         2021/11/11         24         Prior Inflection         unk         No.	JJ (1x)-019	F	51	2021/04/01	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/03/09	na	23	Prior Infection	Unk	Unk	No	No	No	No
JJ (22)-001         F         59         20211/207         2 doess Ad26 COV2.S         20210/217         20211/11/1         24         No prior infection         na         na         No	JJ (1x)-020	F	41	2021/04/07	1 dose Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/24	na	42	Prior Infection	Unk	Unk	No	No	No	No
JJ (22)-002         F         64         2021/12/13         2 doess Ad26 COV2.S         2021/02/12         2021/11/19         24         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         Yes           JJ (22)-004         F         58         2021/12/13         2 doess Ad26 COV2.S         2021/02/22         2021/11/19         24         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No         No           JJ (22)-006         F         66         2021/12/07         2 doess Ad26 COV2.S         2021/02/18         2021/11/11         21         No prior Infection         na         na         No	JJ (2x)-001	F	59	2021/12/06	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/19	2021/11/12	24	No prior Infection	na	na	Yes	No	No	No
JJ (22)-003         F         56         2021/12/13         2 doese Ad26.COV2.5         2021/02/23         2021/11/12         21         No prior Infection         na         No         No <t< td=""><td>JJ (2x)-002</td><td>F</td><td>64</td><td>2021/12/07</td><td>2 doses Ad26.COV2.S</td><td>2021/02/27</td><td>2021/11/11</td><td>26</td><td>No prior Infection</td><td>na</td><td>na</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>Yes</td></t<>	JJ (2x)-002	F	64	2021/12/07	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/27	2021/11/11	26	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	Yes
JJ (2x)-004         F         58         20211/12/13         2 doese Ad26.COV2.S         2021/02/12         2021/11/15         21         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-006         F         62         20211/12/07         2 doese Ad26.COV2.S         2021/01/16         2021/11/15         21         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-007         M         33         20211/12/06         2 doese Ad26.COV2.S         2021/01/11         2221/11/11         25         Prior Infection         2020/07/31         18.31         No         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-010         F         37         2021/12/06         2 doses Ad26.COV2.S         2021/02/14         2021/11/12         24         Prior Infection         2020/05/31         18.31         No         No <td>JJ (2x)-003</td> <td>F</td> <td>36</td> <td>2021/12/13</td> <td>2 doses Ad26.COV2.S</td> <td>2021/02/26</td> <td>2021/11/19</td> <td>24</td> <td>No prior Infection</td> <td>na</td> <td>na</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>No</td>	JJ (2x)-003	F	36	2021/12/13	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/26	2021/11/19	24	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	Yes	No
JJ (2x)-005         M         36         2021/12/06         2 doese Ad26.COV2.S         2021/11/15         21         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-007         M         33         2021/12/08         2 doese Ad26.COV2.S         2021/12/11         21         Prior Infection         2020/07/31         16.35         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-007         M         33         2021/12/08         2 doese Ad26.COV2.S         2021/01/17         21         Prior Infection         2020/07/31         16.31         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-010         F         37         2021/12/06         2 doese Ad26.COV2.S         2021/02/14         2021/11/11         24         Prior Infection         2020/07/31         18.31         No         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-011         F         37         2021/12/06         2 doese Ad26.COV2.S         2021/01/17         21         Prior Infection         2021/01/07         10.95         No         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-014         M         52         2021/12/08         2021/01/17         21         Prior Infection         2021/01/07         10.95         No	JJ (2x)-004	F	58	2021/12/13	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/22	2021/11/22	21	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	No
JJ (2x)-006         F         62         2021/11/207         2 doses Ad26 COV2.S         2021/10/16         22         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-007         M         33         2021/12/06         2 doses Ad26 COV2.S         2021/10/11         21         Prior Infection         2020/07/31         16.21         No         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-008         F         37         2021/12/06         2 doses Ad26 COV2.S         2021/11/12         24         Prior Infection         2020/07/31         16.21         No	JJ (2x)-005	М	36	2021/12/06	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/19	2021/11/15	21	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	Yes
JJ (2x)-007         M         33         2021/1/208         2 doese Ad26.COV2.S         2021/0/21         2021/1/201         13.58         No         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-009         M         32         2021/1/209         2 doese Ad26.COV2.S         2021/0/2/1         2021/1/201         18.31         No         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-010         F         37         2021/1/206         2 doese Ad26.COV2.S         2021/0/214         2021/1/1/18         21         Prior Infection         2020/0/27/31         16.21         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-011         F         37         2021/1/2/08         2 doese Ad26.COV2.S         2021/0/2/3         2021/1/1/17         21         Prior Infection         2020/0/0/2         10.55         No	JJ (2x)-006	F	62	2021/12/07	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/18	2021/11/15	22	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	No
JJ (2x)-008         F         39         2021/12/06         2 doses Ad26.COV2.S         2021/12/17         2021/11/11         25         Prior Infection         2020/07/31         16.21         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-010         F         37         20211/12/06         2 doses Ad26.COV2.S         2021/12/18         20211/11/12         24         Prior Infection         2020/07/31         16.21         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-011         F         37         20211/12/06         2 doses Ad26.COV2.S         2021/11/12         24         Prior Infection         2021/01/07         10.95         No         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-013         M         25         20211/12/06         2 doses Ad26.COV2.S         2021/02/19         2021/11/12         24         Prior Infection         2021/01/04         11.05         No         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-015         F         34         2021/12/08         2 doses Ad26.COV2.S         2021/01/11/15         23         Prior Infection         2020/06/01         18.24         No         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-015         F         34         2021/12/13         2 doses Ad26.COV2.S         2021/01/11/15	JJ (2x)-007	M	33	2021/12/08	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/18	2021/11/17	21	Prior Infection	2020/10/21	13.58	No	No	No	No
JJ (2x)-019       M       32       2021/12/09       2 doses Ad2E COV2.S       2021/10/21       2021/11/17       21       Prior Infection       2021/01/07       10.95       No       <	JJ (2x)-008	F	39	2021/12/06	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/17	2021/11/11	25	Prior Infection	2020/07/31	16.21	No	No	No	No
JJ (2x)-010       F       37       2021/12/06       2 doses Ad26.COV2.S       2021/02/18       2021/11/12       24       Prior Inflection       2021/10/10/       10.95       No	JJ (2x)-009	M	32	2021/12/09	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/24	2021/11/18	21	Prior Infection	2020/05/31	18.31	No	No	No	No
JJ (2x)-011       F       27       2021/12/08       2 doses Ad26.COV2.S       2021/02/23       2021/11/17       21       Prior Infection       2021/01/04       11.05       No       <	JJ (2x)-010	+	37	2021/12/06	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/18	2021/11/12	24	Prior Infection	2021/01/07	10.95	NO	NO	NO	NO
JJ (2x)-012       F       39       2021/12/06       2 00585 Ad28 COV2.S       2021/10/213       21       Prior Infection       2021/01/04       10.53       No       No <td>JJ (2x)-011</td> <td></td> <td>27</td> <td>2021/12/08</td> <td>2 doses Ad26.COV2.S</td> <td>2021/02/23</td> <td>2021/11/17</td> <td>21</td> <td>Prior Infection</td> <td>2020/08/14</td> <td>15.81</td> <td>NO</td> <td>NO</td> <td>NO</td> <td>NO</td>	JJ (2x)-011		27	2021/12/08	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/23	2021/11/17	21	Prior Infection	2020/08/14	15.81	NO	NO	NO	NO
JJ (2x)-013       IM       2.33       2.0211/12/08       2.00585 Ad26.COV2.S       20211/12/12       2.44       Prior Infection       2020/06/02       11.03       NO       NO <t< td=""><td>JJ(2x)-012</td><td>_ <u>г</u></td><td>25</td><td>2021/12/06</td><td>2 doses Ad26.COV2.S</td><td>2021/02/19</td><td>2021/11/13</td><td>21</td><td>Prior Infection</td><td>2021/01/07</td><td>11.95</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>NO Yee</td></t<>	JJ(2x)-012	_ <u>г</u>	25	2021/12/06	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/19	2021/11/13	21	Prior Infection	2021/01/07	11.95	No	No	No	NO Yee
JJ (2x)-014         IM         JJ (2x)-015         F         JJ (2x)-016         F         JJ (2x)-016         F         JJ (2x)-016         F         JJ (2x)-016         F         JJ (2x)-017         <	JJ(2x)-013	M	52	2021/12/08	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/23	2021/11/12	24	Prior Infection	2021/01/04	18.21	No	No	No	No
Bit (2x)-016         F         34         2021/12/02         2 does Ad26.COV2.S         2021/02/26         2021/11/17         22         Prior Infection         2020/06/18         17.72         No         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-017         F         43         2021/12/13         2 doses Ad26.COV2.S         2021/02/26         2021/11/14         19         Prior Infection         2020/02/24         11.64         Yes         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-018         F         31         2021/12/13         2 doses Ad26.COV2.S         2021/02/23         2021/11/12         21         Prior Infection         2020/02/12         11.74         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-019         F         48         2021/12/07         2 doses Ad26.COV2.S         2021/02/22         2021/11/17         19         Prior Infection         2021/02/28         No         No         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-001         F         74         2021/07/30         2 doses Ad26.COV2.S         2021/07/20         10         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No <td< td=""><td><math>\frac{33(2x)-014}{11(2x)-015}</math></td><td>F</td><td>34</td><td>2021/12/08</td><td>2 doses Ad26 COV2.5</td><td>2021/02/19</td><td>2021/11/15</td><td>22</td><td>Prior Infection</td><td>2020/06/01</td><td>18.24</td><td>No</td><td>Ves</td><td>No</td><td>No</td></td<>	$\frac{33(2x)-014}{11(2x)-015}$	F	34	2021/12/08	2 doses Ad26 COV2.5	2021/02/19	2021/11/15	22	Prior Infection	2020/06/01	18.24	No	Ves	No	No
Bit         Construction         Construction <thconstruction< th="">         Construction</thconstruction<>	U (2x)-016	F	35	2021/12/09	2 doses Ad26 COV2 S	2021/03/16	2021/11/17	20	Prior Infection	2020/06/18	17.72	No	No	No	Yes
Lister         Lister <thlister< th=""> <thlister< th=""> <thlister< t=""></thlister<></thlister<></thlister<>	JJ (2x)-017	F	43	2021/12/13	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/26	2021/11/24	19	Prior Infection	2020/12/24	11.64	Yes	No	No	No
JJ (2x)-019         F         48         2021/12/07         2 doses Ad26.COV2.S         2021/02/19         2021/11/15         22         Prior Infection         2020/04/28         19.33         Yes         No         No         No           JJ (2x)-020         F         43         2021/12/06         2 doses Ad26.COV2.S         2021/02/22         2021/11/17         19         Prior Infection         2021/01/07         10.95         No         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-001         F         74         2021/08/03         2 doses BNT162b2         2021/06/22         2021/07/20         10         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-003         M         53         2021/07/26         2 doses BNT162b2         2021/06/23         2021/07/21         82         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-004         F         46         2021/07/26         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/20         48         No prior Infection         na         na         No         <	JJ (2x)-018	F	31	2021/12/13	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/23	2021/11/22	21	Prior Infection	2020/12/21	11.74	No	No	No	No
JJ (2x)-020         F         43         2021/12/06         2 doses Ad26.COV2.S         2021/02/22         2021/11/17         19         Prior Infection         2021/01/07         10.95         No         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-001         F         74         2021/07/30         2 doses BNT162b2         2021/06/22         2021/07/20         10         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-003         F         36         2021/08/03         2 doses BNT162b2         2021/06/22         2021/07/20         10         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No <td>JJ (2x)-019</td> <td>F</td> <td>48</td> <td>2021/12/07</td> <td>2 doses Ad26.COV2.S</td> <td>2021/02/19</td> <td>2021/11/15</td> <td>22</td> <td>Prior Infection</td> <td>2020/04/28</td> <td>19.33</td> <td>Yes</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td>	JJ (2x)-019	F	48	2021/12/07	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/19	2021/11/15	22	Prior Infection	2020/04/28	19.33	Yes	No	No	No
BNT (2x)-001         F         74         2021/07/30         2 doses BNT162b2         2021/06/22         2021/07/20         10         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-002         F         36         2021/08/11         2 doses BNT162b2         2021/06/03         2021/07/11         33         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-003         M         53         2021/08/11         2 doses BNT162b2         2021/05/05         82         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-004         F         46         2021/07/26         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/21         47         No prior Infection         na         na         No	JJ (2x)-020	F	43	2021/12/06	2 doses Ad26.COV2.S	2021/02/22	2021/11/17	19	Prior Infection	2021/01/07	10.95	No	No	No	No
BNT (2x)-002         F         36         2021/08/03         2 doses BNT162b2         2021/06/03         2021/07/10         33         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-003         M         53         2021/08/11         2 doses BNT162b2         2021/04/23         2021/05/21         82         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-004         F         46         2021/09/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/05/05         82         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-005         M         74         2021/09/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/20         48         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No <td>BNT (2x)-001</td> <td>F</td> <td>74</td> <td>2021/07/30</td> <td>2 doses BNT162b2</td> <td>2021/06/22</td> <td>2021/07/20</td> <td>10</td> <td>No prior Infection</td> <td>na</td> <td>na</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td> <td>No</td>	BNT (2x)-001	F	74	2021/07/30	2 doses BNT162b2	2021/06/22	2021/07/20	10	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	No
BNT (2x)-003         M         53         2021/08/11         2 doses BNT162b2         2021/04/23         2021/05/21         82         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         Yes           BNT (2x)-004         F         46         2021/07/26         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/05/05         82         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-005         M         74         2021/09/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/20         48         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-006         F         74         2021/07/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/20         48         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-007         M         53         2021/07/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         46         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No         Yes           BNT (2x)-008         M         35         2021/07/106         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         45         <	BNT (2x)-002	F	36	2021/08/03	2 doses BNT162b2	2021/06/03	2021/07/01	33	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	Yes	No
BNT (2x)-004         F         46         2021/07/26         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/05/05         82         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No           BNT (2x)-005         M         74         2021/09/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/21         47         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-006         F         74         2021/09/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/20         48         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-007         M         53         2021/07/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         46         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-008         M         35         2021/07/02         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         14         No prior Infection         na         na         No	BNT (2x)-003	М	53	2021/08/11	2 doses BNT162b2	2021/04/23	2021/05/21	82	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	Yes
BNT (2x)-005         M         74         2021/09/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/21         47         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-006         F         74         2021/09/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/20         48         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-007         M         53         2021/07/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/21         46         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-007         M         53         2021/07/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         14         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-009         M         71         2021/09/02         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         45         No prior Infection         na         na         No	BNT (2x)-004	F	46	2021/07/26	2 doses BNT162b2	Unk	2021/05/05	82	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	Yes	No
BNT (2x)-006         F         74         2021/09/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/20         48         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-007         M         53         2021/07/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/05/21         46         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No         Yes           BNT (2x)-008         M         53         2021/08/02         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         14         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No         Yes           BNT (2x)-009         M         71         2021/09/02         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         45         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-010         F         66         2021/10/12         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/08/10         63         Prior Infection         2020/07/01         15.39         Yes         Yes         Yes         Yes         Yes         No         No         No         No         No         No         Xo         Yes         Yes	BNT (2x)-005	M	74	2021/09/06	2 doses BNT162b2	Unk	2021/07/21	47	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	No
BNT (2x)-007         M         53         2021/07/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         46         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         Yes           BNT (2x)-008         M         35         2021/08/02         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         14         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         Yes           BNT (2x)-009         M         71         2021/08/02         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         14         No prior Infection         na         na         No         No         Yes           BNT (2x)-010         F         66         2021/10/12         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/08/10         63         Prior Infection         2020/07/01         15.39         Yes         Yes         Yes           BNT (2x)-011         F         43         2021/09/02         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/08/11         22         Prior Infection         2020/06/03         14.99         No         Yes         No         No           BNT (2x)-013         F         67         2021/08/16         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/09/06         30         Prior	BNT (2x)-006	F	74	2021/09/06	2 doses BNT162b2	Unk	2021/07/20	48	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	No
BNT (2x)-009         M         35         2021/08/02         2 doses BN1162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         14         No prior infection         na         na         No         No         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-009         M         71         2021/08/02         2 doses BN1162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         45         No prior Infection         na         No         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-010         F         66         2021/07/12         2 doses BN1162b2         Unk         2021/08/10         63         Prior Infection         2020/07/01         15.39         Yes         No	BNT (2x)-007	M	53	2021/07/06	2 doses BNT162b2	Unk	2021/05/21	46	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	No	Yes
BNT (2x)-009         M         71         2021/09/02         2 doses BN1162b2         Unk         2021/08/10         45         No prior infection         na         na         No         No         No           BNT (2x)-010         F         66         2021/10/12         2 doses BN1162b2         Unk         2021/08/10         63         Prior Infection         2020/07/01         15.39         Yes         Yes         Yes         Yes         No	BNT (2x)-008	M	35	2021/08/02	2 doses BNT162b2	Unk	2021/07/19	14	No prior Infection	na	na	No	No	Yes	No
BNT (2x)-010         F         60         2021/10/12         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/08/10         b3         Prior Infection         2020/07/01         15.39         Yes         No         No           BNT (2x)-011         F         43         2021/09/02         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/08/11         22         Prior Infection         2020/06/03         14.99         No         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-012         M         51         2021/08/16         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/09/06         30         Prior Infection         2020/08/03         12.43         Yes         No         No           BNT (2x)-013         F         67         2021/08/16         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         28         Prior Infection         2020/08/03         12.43         Yes         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-014         F         63         2021/08/14         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk	BNT (2x)-009	M	/1	2021/09/02	2 doses BNT162b2		2021/07/19	45	No prior Infection	na	na 15.20	NO	NO	NO	NO Xaa
DNT (2x)-012         F         67         2021/08/10         2         does BNT162b2         Unk         2021/09/06         30         Prior Infection         2020/06/03         14.99         No         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-012         M         51         2021/08/06         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/09/06         30         Prior Infection         2020/08/03         12.43         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-013         F         67         2021/08/16         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         28         Prior Infection         2020/08/03         12.43         Yes         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-014         F         63         2021/08/18         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/23         26         Prior Infection         2020/08/04         12.43         Yes         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-014         F         63         2021/08/18         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/23         26         Prior Infection         2020/08/04         12.46         No         No         No           DNT (2x)-014         F         63         2021/08/14         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/23         26         Prior	BNT (2x)-010		42	2021/10/12	2 doses BINT162b2		2021/08/10	63	Prior Intection	2020/07/01	15.39	Yes	Yes	Yes	res
Distr         CAPUTE         Im         ST         CAPUTE         Im         ST         CAPUTE         Im         CAPUTE         Im         No         No         Yes         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-013         F         67         2021/08/16         2 doses BNT62b2         Unk         2021/07/19         28         Prior Infection         2020/08/13         12.43         Yes         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-014         F         63         2021/08/18         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/19         28         Prior Infection         2020/08/13         12.43         Yes         Yes         No           BNT (2x)-014         F         63         2021/08/18         2 doses BNT162b2         Unk         2021/07/23         26         Prior Infection         2020/08/04         12.46         No         No         No           DNT (6x) dots         r	BNT (2x)-011	Г	43	2021/09/02	2 doses DIVI 10202	Unk	2021/00/11	22	Prior Infection	2020/00/03	14.99	No	No	Voc	No
BNT (2x)-014         F         63         2021/08/18         2 doses BN162b2         Unix         2021/07/23         26         Prior Infection         2020/08/04         12.45         Fes         Fes         Fes         No           BNT (2x)-014         F         63         2021/08/18         2 doses BN162b2         Unix         2021/07/23         26         Prior Infection         2020/08/04         12.46         No         No           DNT (2x)-014         F         63         2 doses BN162b2         Unix         2021/07/23         26         Prior Infection         2020/08/04         12.46         No         No         No           DNT (2x)-014         F         63         2 doses BN162b2         Unix         2021/08/14         14         2021/08/04         12.46         No         No         No	BNT (2x)-012 BNT (2x)-012	F	67	2021/10/00	2 doses DIVI 10202	Unk	2021/09/06	29	Prior Infection	2020/10/01	12.10	Voc	Voc	Voc	No
	BNT (2x)-014	F	63	2021/08/19	2 doses BNT162b2	Unk	2021/07/23	20	Prior Infection	2020/08/04	12.45	No	No	No	No
= 10  M (23  M) = 143  M (237  M) = 2023  M = 10  M = 2023  M = 14  M = 12023  M = 12023  M = 140  M = 12023  M = 1202	BNT (2x)-015	F	45	2021/10/05	2 doses BNT162b2	Unk	2021/00/21	14	Prior Infection	2020/07/04	15.40	Yee	No	No	No

### Extended Data Table 4 Clinical characteristics of each vaccinated participant.

Unk: unknown. Na: not applicable.

## nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Wendy A. Burgers

Catherine Riou

Last updated by author(s): Jan 9, 2022

### **Reporting Summary**

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

#### Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
	$\boxtimes$	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	$\boxtimes$	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	$\boxtimes$	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
$\boxtimes$		A description of all covariates tested
	$\boxtimes$	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	$\boxtimes$	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	$\boxtimes$	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
$\boxtimes$		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
$\boxtimes$		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
$\boxtimes$		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

### Software and code

Policy information	about <u>availability of computer code</u>
Data collection	Clinical data were recorded by trained clinicians using RedCap (version 9.5.36)
Data analysis	Statistical analysis were performed using GraphPad Prism version 9.3 Flow. Cytometry data were analysed with FlowJo Software (10.8, FlowJo LLC, BD Life Sciences) and Pestle and Spice v6.1 (https://niaid.github.io/spice). HLA prediction was performed using TepiTool from IEDB Analysis Resource (http://tools.iedb.org). The quality control checks on raw sequence data and the genome assembly were performed using Genome Detective 1.133 (https://www.genomedetective.com). Phylogenetic classification of the genomes was done using the PANGOLIN software suite (v1.2.106) (https://github.com/hCoV-2019/pangolin).

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

Datasets (raw data) underlying the figures have been provided as Source Data. Complete genome sequences for the viral isolates were deposited in GISAID.

### Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

### Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	Sample size was based on available samples rather than on a pre-defined samples size calculation
Data exclusions	Sample (PBMC) with low viability (<60%) or low cell number (CD4+ T cells < 20,000 cells) were excluded from the analysis.
Replication	Samples for each patient were analysed once due to limited availability
Randomization	As this is a observational study, randomization is not applicable.
Blinding	For flow cyctometry assay, samples were stained and acquired in 7 consecutive runs over 2 weeks. While performing the experiments,

### Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

#### Materials & experimental systems

Μ	et	ho	ds

Involved in the study Involved in the study n/a n/a  $\boxtimes$ Antibodies ChIP-seq Eukaryotic cell lines Flow cytometry  $\boxtimes$ Palaeontology and archaeology  $\boxtimes$ MRI-based neuroimaging  $\boxtimes$  $\square$ Animals and other organisms ٦ Human research participants Clinical data Dual use research of concern  $\mathbf{X}$ 

### Antibodies

Antibodies used	Antibodies purified NA/LE mouse anti-human CD28 (clone 28.2) BD Pharmingen Cat# 555725; RRID:AB_2130052, dilution 1/1000 purified NA/LE mouse anti-human CD49d (clone L25) BD Pharmingen Cat# 555501; RRID:AB_396068, dilution 1/1000 LIVE/DEAD <sup>™</sup> Fixable VIVID Stain Invitrogen Cat# L34955, dilution 1/2500 CD14 Pac Blue (clone TuK4) Invitrogen Thermofisher Scientific Cat# MHCD1428; RRID:AB_10373537, dilution 1/100 CD19 Pac Blue (clone SJ25-C1) Invitrogen Thermofisher Scientific Cat# MHCD1928; RRID:AB_10373689, dilution 1/100 CD4 PERCP-Cy5.5 (clone L200) BD Biosciences Cat# 552838; RRID:AB_394488, dilution 1/100 CD8 BV510 (clone RPA-8) Biolegend Cat# 301048; RRID:AB_2561942, dilution 1/100 CD3 BV650 (clone OKT3) Biolegend Cat# 317324; RRID:AB_2563352, dilution 1/100 IFN-g Alexa 700 (clone B27) BD Biosciences Cat# 557995; RRID:AB_396977, dilution 1/250 TNF BV786 (clone Mab11) Biolegend Cat# 500310; RRID:AB_315097, dilution 1/100 IL-2 APC (clone MQ1-17H12) Biolegend Cat# 500310; RRID:AB_315097, dilution 1/100
Validation	All antibodies used in this study are commercially available. All antibodies were validated by their manufacturers and were titered to define the optimal titer for positive and negative separation.

### Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about <u>cell lines</u>	
Cell line source(s)	HEK293T-ACE2 cells were a gift from Dr Michael Farzan, Scripps, USA; H1299-E3 cell line was derived from H1299 (CRL-5803). H1299 cells were a gift from M. Oren, Weizmann Institute of Science.
Authentication	Cell lines were not authenticated
Mycoplasma contamination	Cell lines were tested for mycoplasma contamination and were mycoplasma negative.
Commonly misidentified lines (See <u>ICLAC</u> register)	None

### Human research participants

#### Policy information about studies involving human research participants

Population characteristics	This study includes participants vaccinated with Ad26.COV2.S or Pfizer BNT162b2, convalescent COVID-19 patients and hospitalized COVID-19 patients. Samples were selected based on PBMC availability. Demographic characteristics are presented in Extended Data Table 1. Clinical characteristics for each participants included in the study are presented in Extended Data Table 3 and 4.
Recruitment	Study participants vaccinated with Ad26.COV2.S. and convalescent donors were included in a prospective cohort study conducted at Groote Schuur Hospital (Western Cape). Pfizer BNT162b2 vaccinees were recruited from KwaZulu-Natal. Hospitalized COVID-19 patients were recruited from Groote Schuur and Tshwane hospitals. All participants were older then 18 years old, and all participants gave written informed consent. One bias that may be present is the ethnic background, aince ethnic background differs substantially between the different South African provinces. This could have implications for the prevalence of HLA class I and II molecules between patients recruited from different provinces.
Ethics oversight	The study was approved by the University of Cape Town Human Research Ethics Committee (ref: HREC 190/2020, 207/2020 and 209/2020) and the University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee (Medical) (ref. M210429 and M210752), the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee at the University of KwaZulu–Natal (ref. BREC/00001275/2020) and the University of Pretoria Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee (ref. 247/2020).

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

### Clinical data

#### Policy information about <u>clinical studies</u> All manuscripts should comply with the ICMJE <u>guidelines for publication of clinical research</u> and a completed <u>CONSORT checklist</u> must be included with all submissions.

Clinical trial registration	Provide the trial registration number from ClinicalTrials.gov or an equivalent agency.
Study protocol	Note where the full trial protocol can be accessed OR if not available, explain why.
Data collection	Describe the settings and locales of data collection, noting the time periods of recruitment and data collection.
Outcomes	Describe how you pre-defined primary and secondary outcome measures and how you assessed these measures.

### Flow Cytometry

#### Plots

Confirm that:

The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

#### Methodology

Sample preparation

Blood was collected in heparin tubes and processed within 4 hours of collection. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated by density gradient sedimentation using Ficoll-Paque (Amersham Biosciences, Little Chalfont, UK) as per the manufacturer's instructions and cryopreserved in freezing media consisting of heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Thermofisher Scientific) containing 10% DMSO and stored in liquid nitrogen until use.

Instrument	BD Fortessa
Software	Flowjo V10.8.1, Pestle and Spice V6.1
Cell population abundance	No cell sorting was performed
Gating strategy	SARS-Cov-2-specific T cells were identified via the following gating strategy: Viable lymphocytes were identified by successive gating in singlets (FSC-A/FSC-H), time gate, live CD3 (SSC-A/ DUMP channel- dead cells, CD14, CD19,). Then, from live CD3+T cells. CD4+ and CD8+T cells were gated in CD4/CD8 plots. Next. SARS-CoV-2 -specific T cells were gated by plotting IFN-g. IL-2

and TNF-a

Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.